



Alabama
Farm Credit

2013 ANNUAL REPORT
December 31, 2013



Part of the Farm Credit System

Table of Contents

Report of Management	2
Report of Audit Committee	3
Five-Year Summary of Selected Consolidated Financial Data	4
Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	6
Report of Independent Auditors	14
Consolidated Financial Statements	15
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	20
Disclosure Information and Index	48

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

The consolidated financial statements of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA (Association) are prepared by management, who is responsible for the statements' integrity and objectivity, including amounts that must necessarily be based on judgments and estimates. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America appropriate in the circumstances. Other financial information included in the annual report is consistent with that in the consolidated financial statements.

To meet its responsibility for reliable financial information, management depends on the Farm Credit Bank of Texas' and the Association's accounting and internal control systems, which have been designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded and transactions are properly authorized and recorded. The systems have been designed to recognize that the cost of controls must be related to the benefits derived. The consolidated financial statements are audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, who conduct a review of internal controls solely for the purpose of establishing a basis for reliance thereon in determining the nature, extent and timing of audit tests applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. The Association is also examined by the Farm Credit Administration.

The board of directors has overall responsibility for the Association's systems of internal control and financial reporting. The board consults regularly with management and reviews the results of the audits and examinations referred to previously.

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed this annual report, that it has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information contained herein is true, accurate and complete to the best of his or her knowledge or belief.



K. Ben Gore, Chief Executive Officer/President
March 11, 2014



Loyd Rutherford, Chairman, Board of Directors
March 11, 2014



Karri H. Sumrall, Chief Financial Officer
March 11, 2014



John R. Adams, CPA, Chairman, Audit Committee
March 11, 2014

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee (committee) is composed of John R. Adams, CPA, chairman, Larry Don McGee, and Matthew Christjohn, DVM who are directors of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA. In 2013, five committee meetings were held. The committee oversees the scope of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's system of internal controls and procedures, and the adequacy of management's action with respect to recommendations arising from those auditing activities. The committee's approved responsibilities are described more fully in the audit committee charter, which is available on request or on Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's website. The committee approved the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for 2013.

Management is responsible for Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's internal controls and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The consolidated financial statements are prepared under the oversight of the committee. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is responsible for performing an independent audit of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and for issuing a report thereon. The committee's responsibilities include monitoring and overseeing the processes.

In this context, the committee reviewed and discussed Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 (audited consolidated financial statements) with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The committee also reviews with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by authoritative guidance "The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance," and both PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's internal auditors directly provide reports on significant matters to the committee.

The committee discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence from Alabama Farm Credit, ACA. The committee also reviewed the nonaudit services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and concluded that these services were not incompatible with maintaining the independent accountant's independence. The committee has discussed with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP such other matters and received such assurances from them as the committee deemed appropriate.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions and relying thereon, the committee recommended that the board of directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in Alabama Farm Credit, ACA's Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2013.



John R. Adams, CPA, Chairman
March 11, 2014



Larry Don McGee, Member
March 11, 2014



Matthew Christjohn, DVM, Member
March 11, 2014

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

FIVE-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
<u>Balance Sheet Data</u>					
<u>Assets</u>					
Cash	\$ 18	\$ 27	\$ 388	\$ 6	\$ 15
Loans	487,033	445,769	413,847	409,963	410,152
Less: allowance for loan losses	2,697	3,326	2,311	1,491	2,181
Net loans	<u>484,336</u>	<u>442,443</u>	<u>411,536</u>	<u>408,472</u>	<u>407,971</u>
Investment in and receivable from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	8,171	7,752	7,193	6,957	7,448
Other property owned, net	2,998	3,414	3,143	1,901	181
Other assets	8,754	8,658	9,068	9,180	8,049
Total assets	<u>\$ 504,277</u>	<u>\$ 462,294</u>	<u>\$ 431,328</u>	<u>\$ 426,516</u>	<u>\$ 423,664</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>					
Obligations with maturities of one year or less	\$ 9,965	\$ 8,494	\$ 8,043	\$ 7,097	\$ 4,645
Obligations with maturities greater than one year	409,734	373,419	346,762	345,759	350,777
Total liabilities	<u>419,699</u>	<u>381,913</u>	<u>354,805</u>	<u>352,856</u>	<u>355,422</u>
<u>Members' Equity</u>					
Capital stock and participation certificates	2,088	2,671	2,524	2,475	2,432
Unallocated retained earnings	82,579	78,066	74,129	71,141	65,533
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(89)	(356)	(130)	44	277
Total members' equity	<u>84,578</u>	<u>80,381</u>	<u>76,523</u>	<u>73,660</u>	<u>68,242</u>
Total liabilities and members' equity	<u>\$ 504,277</u>	<u>\$ 462,294</u>	<u>\$ 431,328</u>	<u>\$ 426,516</u>	<u>\$ 423,664</u>
<u>Statement of Income Data</u>					
Net interest income	\$ 16,171	\$ 15,105	\$ 13,640	\$ 12,709	\$ 12,025
Provision for loan losses	(514)	(1,352)	(1,561)	(684)	(2,360)
Income from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	1,849	1,730	1,643	1,972	1,708
Other noninterest income	337	820	422	1,010	833
Noninterest expense	(7,055)	(7,030)	(6,229)	(5,768)	(7,091)
Net income	<u>\$ 10,788</u>	<u>\$ 9,273</u>	<u>\$ 7,915</u>	<u>\$ 9,239</u>	<u>\$ 5,115</u>
<u>Key Financial Ratios for the Year</u>					
Return on average assets	2.2%	2.1%	1.9%	2.2%	1.2%
Return on average members' equity	12.6%	11.4%	10.2%	12.4%	7.7%
Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets	3.5%	3.5%	3.4%	3.1%	2.9%
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

FIVE-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
<u>Key Financial Ratios at Year End</u>					
Members' equity as a percentage of total assets	16.8%	17.4%	17.7%	17.3%	16.1%
Debt as a percentage of members' equity	496.2%	475.1%	463.7%	479.0%	520.8%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%
Permanent capital ratio	18.8%	19.5%	20.3%	18.9%	17.1%
Core surplus ratio	18.3%	18.8%	19.6%	18.2%	16.5%
Total surplus ratio	18.3%	18.8%	19.6%	18.2%	16.5%
<u>Net Income Distribution</u>					
Patronage dividends:					
Cash	\$ 5,334	\$ 4,929	\$ 3,637	\$ 2,109	\$ 2,745

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following commentary explains management's assessment of the principal aspects of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Alabama Farm Credit, PCA and Alabama Farm Credit, FLCA (Association) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the Association's audit committee.

Forward-Looking Information:

This annual information statement contains forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will" or other variations of these terms are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions and analyses made in light of experience and other historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments. However, actual results and developments may differ materially from our expectations and predictions due to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- political, legal, regulatory and economic conditions and developments in the United States and abroad;
- economic fluctuations in the agricultural, rural utility, international and farm-related business sectors;
- weather-related, disease-related and other adverse climatic or biological conditions that periodically occur that impact agricultural productivity and income;
- changes in United States government support of the agricultural industry; and
- actions taken by the Federal Reserve System in implementing monetary policy.

Significant Events:

In 2013 the Association paid patronage distributions of \$5,334,088. This was an increase of \$405,283 or 8.2 percent compared to 2012. Also in December 2013, the Association accrued a \$6,275,367 patronage distribution to its stockholders. The payment resolution was approved in January 2014 and will be disbursed in March 2014. The Association was able to return these funds to its members due to strong earnings over the past three years.

During 2013, the Association has recognized charge-offs of \$1,142,753. Also during 2013, the Association acquired eight properties through foreclosure proceedings, with a net carrying value of \$2,938,752 and sold 17 acquired properties with a net carrying value of \$3,183,621. At December 31, 2013, the Association had 11 properties totaling \$2,998,355 in Other Property Owned on the balance sheet.

At a July 2013 Special Stockholders Meeting, the Association's stockholders approved an amendment to the Association's capitalization bylaws addressing the amount of stock a borrower must purchase as a condition of borrowing from the Association. The change affected the range within which the board of directors is authorized to set the stock requirement, and also the manner in which the stock requirement is calculated.

In August 2013, the board of directors approved a stock reduction to equalize the stock of all borrowers to the lesser of 2 percent of the aggregate amount of all of the stockholders' loans or \$1,000. The stock reduction was paid in September 2013, in the amount of \$782,125. Further details are discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, "Members' Equity," included in this annual report.

In December 2013, the Association received a direct loan patronage of \$1,712,446 from the Bank, representing 44 basis points on the average daily balance of the Association's direct loan with the Bank. During 2013, the Association received \$136,913 in patronage payments from the Bank, based on the Association's stock investment in the Bank.

On July 9, 2012, the Farm Credit Administration granted the name change of Federal Land Bank of North Alabama, FLCA, which is a subsidiary of Alabama Farm Credit ACA, to Alabama Farm Credit, FLCA effective July 1, 2012. The Association charter and the title of the Articles of Association were properly amended to reflect the change.

On April 27, 2011, many of the 27 counties the Association serves were affected by the line of storms and tornadoes that passed through the state of Alabama. The Association worked closely with, and continues to work with, the borrowers to ensure proper servicing and attention is given to borrowers and their accounts while also protecting the Association's collateral interest. The Association had approximately seven dwellings totally destroyed and approximately 90 poultry houses that were either damaged or totally destroyed. These type facilities have insurance requirements that include income loss clauses that have helped borrowers maintain their income stream until they could rebuild if they choose to do so. The Association experienced a large decrease in loan volume during most of 2011 primarily the result of large payoffs and pay-downs of loan balances from borrowers that have received insurance proceeds as a result of these storms. However, by the end of the fourth quarter 2011 the Association was able to show an increase in total loan volume of \$3,884,368 or 0.9 percent as compared to 2010.

For more than 24 years, the Association has continued to provide its members with quality financial services. The board of directors and management remain committed to maintaining the financial integrity of the Association while offering competitive loan products that meet the financial needs of agricultural producers.

Loan Portfolio:

The Association makes and services loans to farmers, ranchers, rural homeowners and certain farm-related businesses. The Association's loan volume consists of long-term farm mortgage loans, production and intermediate-term loans, and farm-related business loans. These loan products are available to eligible borrowers with competitive variable, fixed, adjustable and prime-based interest rates. Loan maturities range from one to 30 years, with annual operating loans comprising the majority of the commercial loans and 20- to 30-year maturities comprising the majority of the mortgage loans. Loans serviced by the Association offer several installment payment cycles, the timing of which usually coincides with the seasonal cash-flow capabilities of the borrower.

The composition of the Association's loan portfolio, including principal less funds held of \$487,032,576, \$445,769,343 and \$413,847,083 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is described more fully in detailed tables in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses," included in this annual report. The Association was able to show an increase in total loan volume of \$41,263,233 or 9.3 percent as compared to 2012. These increases are the result of the Association expanding its PCA loan growth, an overall improvement of economic and financial markets and increased demand within the Association's territory.

The Association's largest commodity concentration in its loan portfolio continues to be poultry, which is approximately 50.0 percent or \$243,905,285. The industry is presently stable to improving with market prices for poultry improving and feed cost leveling off to declining. This has resulted in the integrators showing marginal profits for the year. They are reacting to this improvement by returning to a normal egg and chick placement. Overall, the industry remains stable. Production in 2014 should increase as markets both in the states and overseas show signs of improving. Several integrators are offering new grower contracts in order to meet their market demands, with egg and chick placements in Alabama increasing weekly. Overall credit quality has improved and the industry remained relatively consistent within the market throughout the year. The Association has \$80,105,048 or 32.9 percent guaranteed, which helps to reduce loss exposure in this commodity. Prices for utilities, gas, electricity and water continue to put downward pressure on the growers' net income. The recent supply shortage of propane (gas) has some growers and integrators concerned because birds cannot be placed on the farm without assurance the propane can be obtained to complete the grow-out cycle. This supply shortage has also caused a temporary increase in propane cost to the growers, further decreasing their profits. These shortages should only be temporary with suppliers and processing returning to more normal rates once this situation is corrected. This is somewhat offset by previous and projected increases in grower contracts paid by all the major integrators within the Association's territory. Poultry farm sales during 2013 have been few in number, but a sufficient demand remains in the market place. Most integrators did some expansion in 2013 due to a decrease in feed costs (i.e. corn and soybeans) and better market conditions for poultry. Most all of the integrators have plans in place to expand their operations more during 2014. One integrator, Koch Foods, expanded their bird square footage on the eastern side of the Association's territory during 2013, with further plans of expanding in 2014. Layout times have returned to normal ranges and stocking densities have increased to normal numbers. Financial developments within certain sectors of the poultry markets have indicated some certainties. Feed costs to the integrators should return to a more normal or reasonable level in 2014, due to projected lower costs for corn and soybeans. Projections for poultry are that the market will remain relatively stable to improving in the coming year. This is due primarily to the export markets improving along with higher prices for hogs and cattle causing more demand for less expensive poultry.

Commodity prices remain volatile for production agricultural borrowers but are at all-time highs compared to the five-year average for corn, cotton and soybean growers. These higher prices are partially offset by higher input cost and lower government payments. Crop yield for all three commodities was above average last growing season. Record yields coupled with all-time high prices resulted in record level profit margins for row crop producers. The Association's territory received above normal moisture during the crop planting season resulting in some delayed plantings. All crops have been or are being harvested now with above-average yields being recorded due to the amount of moisture they have received. Livestock producers are seeing higher prices for

cattle sold due to the overall low inventory of cattle nationwide and worldwide due to increased demand for beef products compared to the last few years. Beef product prices are at an all-time high in super markets. Cattle prices are projected to remain high with the liquidation of cattle herds in other parts of the country due to severe droughts experienced in the past year. The majority of Association livestock producers have considerable nonfarm income which should lessen the impact of price volatility. The decline in the general economy has shown minimal effects on the Association's non-agricultural income dependent borrowers and their repayment abilities at this time. Delinquency percentages of total volume continue to be at or slightly below prior years' percentages.

Timber markets in 2013 continued to be soft, due to the decreased demand from the housing market. Indicators for 2014 are for timber prices to improve with industry leaders projecting increased demand for wood products in 2014. We will continue to work with our borrowers, as all market segments make corrections with minimal restructuring, and move forward.

Overall land values have remained fairly stable or have seen a slight decline in some areas of the Association's territory (recreational and cut-over tracts) based on the current economic climate. The agricultural economy, in general for the area, has seen an improvement over previous years. Favorable weather conditions and higher commodity prices have had a positive effect in stabilizing the farm economy for the year. The Association's credit quality grew to 98.8 percent non-adverse loan volume at 2013 year end, compared to 98.0 and 97.4 percent non-adverse loan volume at year-end 2012 and 2011, respectively. We will continue to work with our borrowers as all market segments make corrections with minimal restructuring.

Capital markets loans are projected to increase with the Association re-entering this domain and the hiring of additional staff now in place to analyze this type of loan market, while the Association's core business (at the branch level) will show moderate growth. Also, 2014 holds many challenges for the Association in meeting its goals. The Association gained Title II lending authority and converted to an ACA in 2010. This has provided the Association with continued new opportunities for short- and intermediate-term financing. The Association is striving to be a full-service farm lender meeting the total financial borrowing needs of its customers. One of the areas of focus for the Association is obtaining the larger row crop farmers operating lines of credit and equipment purchases. The AgFast credit scoring system for new loans is set at \$300,000 and should continue to add some additional efficiency to the loan process. Added focus for 2014 will be aimed at developing new relationships with consumer oriented part-time farmers. The segment of focus for consumer loans to part-time farmers will be rural residential lending. In addition, the Association will establish additional market penetration for a timberland/recreational customer base, with both short- and long-term loans as the area of focus. The Association is also striving to make loans and services available to the smaller farmers involved in selling their products in local markets.

With a favorable lending package, we are prepared to experience steady growth in the years ahead. The probability of higher input costs, questions about future commodity supplies and prices, no new Farm Bill being passed by legislators, uncertainty of the export markets and unfolding world events increase the level of financial risk in the farming sector and, likewise, the level of credit risk to those financial institutions providing credit to that sector. Given the conditions outlined herein, the quality of the loan portfolio is expected to remain constant or slightly improve throughout 2014.

Purchase and Sales of Loans:

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association was participating in loans with other lenders. As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, these participations totaled \$10,707,869, \$8,882,731 and \$10,154,659, or 2.2 percent, 1.8 percent and 2.5 percent of loans, respectively. Included in these amounts are participations purchased from entities outside the district of \$0, \$801,117 and \$801,117, or 0.0 percent, 0.2 percent and 0.2 percent of loans, respectively. The increase in volume in 2013 is due to the Association purchasing six new participations. The decrease in volume from 2011 to 2012 is due to the Association's board of directors making the decision to not actively pursue the purchase of any new participation loans from 2007 until the fourth quarter of 2011. The Association had not sold any participations as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Risk Exposure:

High-risk assets include nonaccrual loans, loans that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, formally restructured loans, and Other Property Owned, net. The following table illustrates the Association's components and trends of high-risk assets serviced for the prior three years as of December 31:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Nonaccrual	\$ 1,901,977	27.9%	\$ 4,531,760	44.6%	\$ 5,017,569	48.0%
90 days past due and still accruing interest	-	0.0%	212,836	2.1%	844,267	8.1%
Formally restructured	1,913,965	28.1%	1,969,255	19.5%	1,436,637	13.8%
Other property owned, net	2,998,355	44.0%	3,413,520	33.8%	3,143,304	30.1%
Total	\$ 6,814,297	100.0%	\$ 10,127,371	100.0%	\$ 10,441,777	100.0%

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, loans that were considered impaired were \$3,815,942, \$6,713,851 and \$7,298,473, representing 0.8 percent, 1.5 percent and 1.8 percent of loan volume, respectively. Impaired loans consist of all high-risk assets except Other Property Owned, net.

High-risk assets decreased in 2013 by \$3,313,074 or 32.7 percent, as compared to 2012. During 2013, 14 loans totaling \$3,715,882 were moved to nonaccrual status while eight loans totaling \$2,938,752 were moved to Other Property Owned and three loans totaling \$1,124,820 were reinstated to accrual status as a result of their compliance with terms of their new loan agreement.

The Association had 18 properties in Other Property Owned at the beginning of 2013. During 2013, the Association acquired eight other properties from various counties in North Alabama. The Association also disposed of 17 properties during 2013 resulting in a net gain of \$66,389. At December 31, 2013 the Association held 11 properties with a carrying value of \$2,998,355, net of allowance, which consisted of approximately 1,294 acres of land and an industrial ethanol facility.

Counterparty risk is continually monitored by management of the Association. The Association's primary counterparty risk comes from the poultry integrators with which its borrowers are associated and participation loans. Because the Association's portfolio has approximately a 50.0 percent concentration in poultry, it mitigates the inherent risks in poultry and the integrators by heavy utilization of government guarantees. Also, the Association's lending territory has multiple integrators which would minimize the risk of counterparty failure or lack of performance. Management analyzes the financial position and performance of these integrators by regularly gathering updated financials and other reports that are made available to the public. The Association, in the normal course of business, has participation loans with other farm credit associations and farm credit banks.

Except for the relationship between installment due date and seasonal cash-flow capabilities of the borrower, the Association is not affected by any seasonal characteristics. The factors affecting the operations of the Association are the same factors that would affect any agricultural real estate lender.

To help mitigate and diversify credit risk, the Association has employed practices including credit guarantees and loan participations. At December 31, 2013, the Association had approximately \$85.5 million or 17.6 percent of its portfolio that had guarantees with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) or the Small Business Administration (SBA).

Allowance for Loan Losses:

The following table provides relevant information regarding the allowance for loan losses as of, or for the year ended, December 31:

	2013	2012	2011
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,697,084	\$ 3,326,164	\$ 2,311,005
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans	141.8%	73.7%	46.1%
Allowance for loan losses to impaired loans	70.7%	49.5%	31.7%
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%

The allowance is based on a periodic evaluation of the loan portfolio by management in which numerous factors are considered, including economic conditions, loan portfolio composition, collateral value, portfolio quality, current production conditions and economic conditions, and prior loan loss experience. Management considers the following factors in determining and supporting

the level of allowance for loan losses: the concentration of lending in agriculture, combined with uncertainties associated with farmland values, commodity prices, exports, government assistance programs, regional economic effects and weather-related influences. Based upon ongoing risk assessment and the allowance for loan losses procedures outlined above, the allowance for loan losses of \$2,697,084, \$3,326,164 and \$2,311,005 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is considered adequate by management to compensate for inherent losses in the loan portfolio at such dates. The \$629,080 decrease compared to 2012 is primarily due to the decrease of \$1,060,990 for specific allowances, offset by additional general allowance of \$431,910 needed as a result of identified inherent risk within its poultry portfolio, specifically in regards to integrator concentration. It is management's assertion that the allowance coverage is adequate based on historical losses, portfolio stress testing, risk analysis, mitigation of losses due to having first lien real estate with minimal to no price appreciation and, as mentioned above, having approximately \$85.5 million or 17.6 percent of its portfolio having FSA or SBA guarantees.

Results of Operations:

The Association's net income for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$10,788,391 as compared to \$9,272,532 for the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting an increase of \$1,515,859, or 16.4 percent. The Association's net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$7,915,394. Net income increased \$1,357,138, or 17.1 percent, in 2012 versus 2011. The increase in net income during 2013, 2012 and 2011 was the result of increased average loan volume combined with increases in spreads and a low cost of funds charged by the Bank, which yielded higher net interest income. The increase in net income during 2013 was also attributable to a decrease in provision for loan losses.

Net interest income for 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$16,170,812, \$15,105,477 and \$13,640,349, respectively, reflecting increases of \$1,065,335, or 7.1 percent, for 2013 versus 2012 and \$1,465,128, or 10.7 percent, for 2012 versus 2011. Net interest income is the principal source of earnings for the Association and is impacted by volume, yields on assets and cost of debt. The effects of changes in average volume and interest rates on net interest income over the past three years are presented in the following tables:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Balance	Interest	Average Balance	Interest
Loans	\$ 462,437,484	\$ 23,722,592	\$ 432,940,119	\$ 23,399,976	\$ 406,779,813	\$ 23,268,398
Interest-bearing liabilities	389,214,446	7,551,780	364,373,308	8,294,499	342,926,322	9,628,049
Impact of capital	<u>\$ 73,223,038</u>		<u>\$ 68,566,811</u>		<u>\$ 63,853,491</u>	
Net interest income		<u>\$ 16,170,812</u>		<u>\$ 15,105,477</u>		<u>\$ 13,640,349</u>

	2013	2012	2011
	Average Yield	Average Yield	Average Yield
Yield on loans	5.1%	5.4%	5.7%
Total yield on interest-earning assets	5.1%	5.4%	5.7%
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities	1.9%	2.3%	2.8%
Interest rate spread	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%

	2013 vs. 2012			2012 vs. 2011		
	Increase (decrease) due to			Increase (decrease) due to		
	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total
Interest income - loans	\$ 1,594,379	\$ (1,271,763)	\$ 322,616	\$ 1,496,396	\$ (1,364,818)	\$ 131,578
Interest expense	565,484	(1,308,203)	(742,719)	602,146	(1,935,696)	(1,333,550)
Net interest income	<u>\$ 1,028,895</u>	<u>\$ 36,440</u>	<u>\$ 1,065,335</u>	<u>\$ 894,250</u>	<u>\$ 570,878</u>	<u>\$ 1,465,128</u>

Interest income for 2013 increased by \$322,616, or 1.4 percent, compared to 2012, primarily due to an increase in average loan volume as a result of the active lending environment during 2013 and 2012. Interest expense for 2013 decreased by \$742,719, or 9.0 percent, compared to 2012 due to a favorable low cost of funds charged by the Bank. The interest rate spread increased by 6 basis points to 3.2 percent in 2013 from 3.1 percent in 2012. The interest rate spread increased by 22 basis points to 3.1 percent in 2012 from 2.9 percent in 2011. The increase for the past two years is primarily a result of the low cost of funds charged by the Bank along with the Association risk pricing loans within the current credit markets.

Noninterest income for 2013 decreased by \$362,999, or 14.2 percent, compared to 2012, primarily due to the receipt of \$298,092 in refund distributions of excess reserves from prior years from the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) in 2012 that was not included in 2013, and increased patronage income from the Bank, offset by a decrease in loan fees. The distributions from the FCSIC included reserves it held in excess of its secure base amount in 2003 which had been previously allocated to its Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts, and also included reserves in excess of its secure base amount in 2009 which were likewise allocated. The 2008 Farm Bill amended the Farm Credit Act and simplified the formula for payments from the Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts to allow more immediate distribution of excess Insurance Fund balances to System banks. The increase in patronage income from the Bank is attributable to the Bank paying 44 basis points on the Association's average note balance for 2013 versus 43 basis points in 2012, resulting in an increase of \$119,025 or 6.8 percent. The decrease in loan fees resulted from the change in the calculation of estimated time to originate loans and number of employees involved in the loan origination process.

Noninterest income for 2012 increased by \$484,116, or 23.4 percent, compared to 2011, primarily due to \$298,092 in refund distributions of excess reserves from prior years from the FCSIC recognized in 2012 that was not included in 2011, increased patronage income from the Bank, and increased loan fees. The distributions from the FCSIC included reserves it held in excess of its secure base amount in 2003 which had been previously allocated to its Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts, and also included reserves in excess of its secure base amount in 2009 which were likewise allocated. The 2008 Farm Bill amended the Farm Credit Act and simplified the formula for payments from the Allocated Insurance Reserves Accounts to allow more immediate distribution of excess Insurance Fund balances to System Banks. The increase in patronage income from the Bank is attributable to the Bank paying 43 basis points on the Association's average note balance for 2012 versus 42 basis points in 2011, resulting in an increase of \$87,523 or 5.3 percent. Increase in fees is due to increased demand in loans compared to 2011 and additional growth of PCA volume since receiving Title 2 lending authority in 2010.

Provisions for loan losses decreased by \$838,159, or 62.0 percent, compared to 2012, due primarily to the large decrease in impaired loans and disposition of multiple acquired properties with specific reserves. The Association's risk factors used in the calculation of the allowance was updated in 2013 based on the direction of the Farm Credit System's Risk Management workgroup. As a result of this updated, the Association's risk factors decreased, therefore reducing the allowance. The Association also updated its Loss Given Default (LGD) risk factors to comply with the Farm Credit System's waterfall methodology. Prior to 2013, the Association was more conservative when measuring its collateral risk exposure. This change also resulted in a decrease in the Association's allowance at December 31, 2013.

Operating expenses consist primarily of salaries, employee benefits and purchased services. Expenses for purchased services may include administrative services, marketing, information systems, accounting and loan processing, among others. The \$24,636 increase in operating expenses in 2013 compared to 2012, was primarily due to an increase in purchased services, travel, occupancy and equipment, communications, public member relations and FCSIC premiums. This increase was offset by a decrease in salaries and employee benefits. Purchased services increased due primarily to contracted services in 2013 that were not incurred in 2012. The increase in travel, occupancy and equipment and communications is the result of the Association experiencing an increase in employee hirings in 2013 and 2012. Public member relations increased due to the Association's budgeted increase in the amount of funds allocated for public and member functions in 2013 as compared to 2012. The increase in FCSIC insurance is due to a change in premium base from 5 basis points in 2012 to 10 basis points in 2013.

The \$801,117 increase in operating expenses in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to an increase in salaries, employee benefits, communications, advertising, public and member relations and net losses on Other Property Owned offset by a decrease in purchased services. Salaries, employee benefits and communications increased as a result of the addition of 10 new employees. The increase in advertising and public and member relations was a result of branch customer appreciation increased marketing efforts in 2012. The increase of \$169,975 in net losses on Other Property Owned was primarily due to \$61,061 increase in legal expenses and an \$81,280 increase in provision for losses on acquired property as compared to 2011. The increase in operating expenses was offset by a \$61,977 decrease in purchased services as a result of the Bank's decision, effective April 2011, to only bill associations for direct pass through expenses and no longer bill for allocated expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Association's return on average assets was 2.2 percent, as compared to 2.1 percent and 1.9 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Association's return on average members' equity was 12.6 percent, as compared to 11.4 percent and 10.2 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in 2013 as compared to 2012 is the result of higher income due to the decrease in interest expense on the note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas and the decrease in provision of loan losses. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 is the result of a higher net income resulting from the FCSIC refund and the decrease in interest expense on the note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas.

Because the Association depends on the Bank for funding, any significant positive or negative factors affecting the operations of the Bank would have a similar effect on the operations of the Association.

Liquidity and Funding Sources:

The principal source of funds for the Association is the borrowing relationship established with the Bank through a General Financing Agreement (GFA). The GFA utilizes the Association's credit and fiscal performance as criteria for establishing a line of credit on which the Association may draw funds. The Bank advances the funds to the Association, creating notes payable (or direct loans) to the Bank. The Bank manages interest rate risk through direct loan pricing and asset/liability management. The notes payable are segmented into variable rate and fixed rate components. The variable rate note is utilized by the Association to fund variable rate loan advances and operating funds requirements. The fixed rate note is used specifically to fund fixed rate loan advances made by the Association. Association capital levels effectively create a borrowing margin between the amount of loans outstanding and the amount of notes payable outstanding. This margin is commonly referred to as "loanable funds." Interest rates on both variable and fixed rate notes payable are generally established loan-by-loan based on the Bank's marginal cost of funds, capital position, operating costs and return objectives. The interest rate is periodically adjusted by the Bank based upon agreement between the Bank and the Association.

The majority of the interest rate risk in the Association's Consolidated Balance Sheets is transferred to the Bank through the notes payable structure. The Bank, in turn, actively utilizes funds management techniques to identify, quantify and control risk associated with the loan portfolio. The Association utilizes differential pricing for its loans based on credit risk, length of maturity, service cost, and market variables, thereby giving the Association the ability in large part to control its interest rate margins.

The primary source of liquidity and funding for the Association is a direct loan from the Bank. The outstanding balance of \$409,070,529, \$372,760,946 and \$345,999,951 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is recorded as a liability on the Association's balance sheet. The note carried a weighted average interest rate of 1.8 percent, 2.0 percent and 2.5 percent at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The indebtedness is collateralized by a pledge of substantially all of the Association's assets to the Bank and is governed by a general financing agreement. The increase in note payable to the Bank and decrease in related accrued interest payable since December 31, 2012, is due to the Association's loan portfolio growth and the decrease in weighted average interest rate. The Association's own funds, which represent the amount of the Association's loan portfolio funded by the Association's equity, were \$72,906,570, \$68,097,215 and \$67,475,067 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The maximum amount the Association may borrow from the Bank as of December 31, 2013, was \$489,561,370 as defined by the general financing agreement. The indebtedness continues in effect until the expiration date of the general financing agreement, which is September 15, 2015, unless sooner terminated by the Bank upon the occurrence of an event of default, or by the Association, in the event of a breach of this agreement by the Bank, upon giving the Bank 30 calendar days' prior written notice, or in all other circumstances, upon giving the Bank 120 days' prior written notice.

The liquidity policy of the Association is to manage cash balances, maximize debt reduction and increase accrual loan volume. This policy will continue to be pursued during 2013. As borrower payments are received, they are applied to the Association's note payable to the Bank.

The Association will continue to fund its operations through direct borrowings from the Bank, capital surplus from prior years and borrower stock. It is management's opinion that funds available to the Association are sufficient to fund its operations for the coming year.

Capital Resources:

The Association's capital position remains strong, with total members' equity of \$84,578,320, \$80,381,430 and \$76,522,420 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Under regulations governing minimum permanent capital adequacy and other capitalization issues, the Association is required to maintain a minimum adjusted permanent capital of 7.0 percent of risk-adjusted assets as defined by the FCA. The permanent capital ratio measures available at-risk capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the institution's financial capacity to absorb potential losses beyond that provided in the allowance for loss accounts. The Association's permanent capital ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 18.8 percent, 19.5 percent and 20.3 percent, respectively.

The core surplus ratio measures available core surplus capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the quality of capital that exists to maintain stable earnings and financial strength. The Association's core surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 18.3 percent, 18.8 percent and 19.6 percent, respectively, which is in compliance with the FCA's minimum ratio requirement of 3.5 percent.

The total surplus ratio measures available surplus capital relative to risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet contingencies. The ratio is an indicator of the reserves existing to protect borrowers' investments in the Association. The Association's total surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was 18.3 percent, 18.8 percent and 19.6 percent, respectively, which is in compliance with the FCA's minimum ratio requirement of 7.0 percent.

The decrease in permanent capital, core surplus and total surplus ratios over the past three years is due to the Association's rapid average loan volume growth combined with the Association returning 60 percent of its earnings for 2013 and 2012 and 40 percent of its earnings for 2011 in the form of cash patronage distributions.

In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association paid patronage distributions of \$5,334,088, \$4,928,805 and \$3,637,136, respectively. In December 2013 the Association accrued a \$6,275,367 cash patronage distribution to its stockholders based on its 2012 obligating patronage resolution that was declared by the board of directors in December 2013. The payment resolution was approved in January 2014 and the distribution will be paid in March 2014. See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, "Members' Equity," included in this annual report, for further information.

Relationship With the Bank:

The Association's statutory obligation to borrow only from the Bank is discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, "Note Payable to the Bank," included in this annual report.

The Bank's ability to access capital of the Association is discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," included in this annual report, within the section "Capital Stock Investment in the Bank."

The Bank's role in mitigating the Association's exposure to interest rate risk is described in the section "Liquidity and Funding Sources" of Management's Discussion and Analysis and in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, "Note Payable to the Bank," included in this annual report.

The Bank provides computer systems to support the critical operations of all district Associations. In addition, each Association has operating systems and facility-based systems that are not supported by the Bank. As disclosed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, "Related Party Transactions," included in this annual report, the Bank provides many services that the Association can utilize, such as administrative, marketing, information systems and accounting services. Additionally, the Bank bills district expenses to the associations, such as the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation insurance premiums. As of April 2011, the Bank only bills associations for direct pass-through expenses and no longer bills for allocated expenses. The impact of the change is a reduction of allocated expenses which are included in purchased services on the statements of comprehensive income.

Summary:

Over the past 24 years, regardless of the state of the agricultural economy, your Association's board of directors and management, as well as the board of directors and management of the Bank, have been committed to offering their borrowers a ready source of financing at a competitive price. Your continued support will be critical to the success of this Association.



Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors and Members of
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA and its subsidiaries (the Association), which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, of changes in members' equity and of cash flows for the years then ended.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the Association's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Association's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Alabama Farm Credit, ACA and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

March 11, 2014

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
<u>Assets</u>			
Cash	\$ 17,899	\$ 27,178	\$ 387,514
Loans	487,032,576	445,769,343	413,847,083
Less: allowance for loan losses	2,697,084	3,326,164	2,311,005
Net loans	484,335,492	442,443,179	411,536,078
Accrued interest receivable	4,762,024	4,776,648	4,934,558
Investment in and receivable from the Farm			
Credit Bank of Texas:			
Capital stock	7,734,035	7,246,510	6,865,990
Other	436,848	505,858	327,256
Other property owned, net	2,998,355	3,413,520	3,143,304
Premises and equipment	3,549,737	3,597,276	3,654,677
Other assets	442,622	284,179	478,623
Total assets	<u>\$ 504,277,012</u>	<u>\$ 462,294,348</u>	<u>\$ 431,328,000</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>			
Note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	\$ 409,070,529	\$ 372,760,946	\$ 345,999,951
Accrued interest payable	663,449	657,802	762,394
Drafts outstanding	798,535	338,940	751,431
Patronage distributions payable	6,275,551	5,334,272	4,927,767
Other liabilities	2,890,628	2,820,958	2,364,037
Total liabilities	<u>419,698,692</u>	<u>381,912,918</u>	<u>354,805,580</u>
<u>Members' Equity</u>			
Capital stock and participation certificates	2,088,100	2,670,725	2,523,570
Unallocated retained earnings	82,579,501	78,066,477	74,129,255
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(89,281)	(355,772)	(130,405)
Total members' equity	<u>84,578,320</u>	<u>80,381,430</u>	<u>76,522,420</u>
Total liabilities and members' equity	<u>\$ 504,277,012</u>	<u>\$ 462,294,348</u>	<u>\$ 431,328,000</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA—2013 Annual Report*

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
<u>Interest Income</u>			
Loans	\$ 23,722,592	\$ 23,399,976	\$ 23,268,398
<u>Interest Expense</u>			
Note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	7,551,780	8,294,499	9,628,049
Net interest income	16,170,812	15,105,477	13,640,349
Provision for loan losses	513,673	1,351,832	1,560,843
Net interest income after provision for losses	15,657,139	13,753,645	12,079,506
<u>Noninterest Income</u>			
Income from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas:			
Patronage income	1,849,359	1,730,334	1,642,811
Loan fees	172,105	415,860	318,769
Refunds from Farm Credit System			
Insurance Corporation	-	298,092	-
Financially related services income	24,806	26,964	28,427
Gain on other property owned, net	66,389	-	-
Gain on sale of premises and equipment, net	9,986	36,822	50,321
Other noninterest income	63,192	40,764	24,392
Total noninterest income	2,185,837	2,548,836	2,064,720
<u>Noninterest Expenses</u>			
Salaries and employee benefits	4,384,234	4,452,676	3,843,188
Directors' expense	198,529	216,359	212,292
Purchased services	371,968	311,238	390,880
Travel	444,619	381,263	377,679
Occupancy and equipment	367,212	292,745	276,690
Communications	120,199	109,326	98,595
Advertising	246,686	235,111	212,900
Public and member relations	209,746	167,984	137,115
Supervisory and exam expense	136,703	139,078	141,963
Insurance Fund premiums	298,316	142,359	160,286
Business insurance premiums	78,285	72,961	65,942
Loss on other property owned, net	-	327,325	157,350
Other noninterest expense	198,088	181,524	153,952
Total noninterest expenses	7,054,585	7,029,949	6,228,832
NET INCOME	10,788,391	9,272,532	7,915,394
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Change in postretirement benefit plans	266,491	(225,367)	(174,283)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	266,491	(225,367)	(174,283)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 11,054,882	\$ 9,047,165	\$ 7,741,111

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA—2013 Annual Report*

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN MEMBERS' EQUITY

	Capital Stock/ Participation Certificates	Retained Earnings Unallocated	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Members' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 2,474,920	\$ 71,141,624	\$ 43,878	\$ 73,660,422
Comprehensive income	-	7,915,394	(174,283)	7,741,111
Capital stock/participation certificates issued	331,990	-	-	331,990
Capital stock/participation certificates retired	(283,340)	-	-	(283,340)
Patronage declared:				
Cash	-	(4,927,763)	-	(4,927,763)
Balance at December 31, 2011	2,523,570	74,129,255	(130,405)	76,522,420
Comprehensive income	-	9,272,532	(225,367)	9,047,165
Capital stock/participation certificates issued	490,115	-	-	490,115
Capital stock/participation certificates retired	(342,960)	-	-	(342,960)
Patronage declared:				
Cash	-	(5,335,310)	-	(5,335,310)
Balance at December 31, 2012	2,670,725	78,066,477	(355,772)	80,381,430
Comprehensive income	-	10,788,391	266,491	11,054,882
Capital stock/participation certificates issued	490,950	-	-	490,950
Capital stock/participation certificates retired	(291,450)	-	-	(291,450)
Stock equalization	(782,125)	-	-	(782,125)
Patronage declared:				
Cash	-	(6,275,367)	-	(6,275,367)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 2,088,100	\$ 82,579,501	\$ (89,281)	\$ 84,578,320

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Alabama Farm Credit, ACA—2013 Annual Report

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 10,788,391	\$ 9,272,532	\$ 7,915,394
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan losses or (loan loss reversal)	513,673	1,351,832	1,560,843
Provision for acquired property	121,106	185,768	102,423
(Gain) loss on other property owned, net	(227,347)	4,668	(20,900)
Depreciation	285,790	258,275	241,449
Amortization (accretion) of net (premiums) discounts in investments	(22,401)	(16,330)	845
Gain on sale of premises and equipment, net	(9,663)	(36,822)	(50,321)
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	14,624	157,910	311,721
Decrease (increase) in other receivables from the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	69,010	(178,602)	(326,236)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(116,575)	203,064	10,786
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	5,647	(104,592)	(126,954)
Increase in other liabilities	343,483	231,554	2,681
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>11,765,738</u>	<u>11,329,257</u>	<u>9,621,731</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Increase in loans, net	(44,982,187)	(33,432,215)	(6,990,250)
Proceeds from (purchase) redemption of investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	(487,525)	(380,520)	90,080
Purchases of premises and equipment	(245,338)	(228,978)	(229,626)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	16,750	64,928	96,868
Proceeds from sales of other property owned	3,070,818	720,338	771,993
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(42,627,482)</u>	<u>(33,256,447)</u>	<u>(6,260,935)</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA—2013 Annual Report*

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net draws on note payable to the Farm Credit Bank of Texas	36,309,583	26,760,995	1,130,647
Increase (decrease) in drafts outstanding	459,595	(412,491)	(521,285)
Increase in advance conditional payments		-	-
Issuance of capital stock and participation certificates	490,950	490,115	331,990
Retirement of capital stock and participation certificates	(1,073,575)	(342,960)	(283,340)
Cash dividends paid		-	-
Patronage distributions paid	(5,334,088)	(4,928,805)	(3,637,136)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	30,852,465	21,566,854	(2,979,124)
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(9,279)	(360,336)	381,672
Cash at the beginning of the year	27,178	387,514	5,842
Cash at the end of the year	\$ 17,899	\$ 27,178	\$ 387,514

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

Financed sales of other property owned	340,150	424,800	418,000
Loans transferred to other property owned	2,938,752	1,614,212	2,513,853
Loans charged off	1,142,753	336,673	740,463
Accumulated other comprehensive income	266,491	225,367	174,283
Patronage distributions declared	6,275,367	5,334,166	4,927,709
Increase in due from FSA, net	49,191	8,420	268,722

Supplemental cash information:

Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 7,546,133	\$ 8,399,091	\$ 9,755,003

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA—2013 Annual Report*

ALABAMA FARM CREDIT, ACA
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS:

- A. Organization: Alabama Farm Credit, ACA, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Alabama Farm Credit, PCA and Alabama Farm Credit, FLCA (collectively called “the Association”), is a member-owned cooperative which provides credit and credit-related services to, or for the benefit of, eligible borrowers/stockholders for qualified agricultural purposes in the counties of Blount, Calhoun, Cherokee, Clay, Cleburne, Colbert, Cullman, DeKalb, Etowah, Fayette, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Lamar, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone, Madison, Marion, Marshall, Morgan, Randolph, Shelby, St. Clair, Talladega, Walker and Winston in the state of Alabama.

The Association is a lending institution of the Farm Credit System (System), a nationwide system of cooperatively owned banks and associations that was established by Acts of Congress to meet the credit needs of American agriculture and is subject to the provisions of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Act). At December 31, 2013, the System consisted of three Farm Credit Banks (FCBs) and their affiliated associations, one Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB) and its affiliated associations, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation (Funding Corporation), and various service and other organizations.

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (Bank) and its related associations are collectively referred to as the “district.” The Bank provides funding to all associations within the district and is responsible for supervising certain activities of the district associations. At December 31, 2013, the district consisted of the Bank, one FLCA and 16 ACA parent companies, which have two wholly-owned subsidiaries, a FLCA and a PCA, operating in or servicing the states of Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico and Texas. ACA parent companies provide financing and related services through their FLCA and PCA subsidiaries. The FLCA makes secured long-term agricultural real estate and rural home mortgage loans. The PCA makes short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes.

The Farm Credit Administration (FCA) is delegated authority by Congress to regulate the System banks and associations. The FCA examines the activities of System associations to ensure their compliance with the Farm Credit Act, FCA regulations, and safe and sound banking practices.

The Act established the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) to administer the Farm Credit Insurance Fund (Insurance Fund). The Insurance Fund is required to be used (1) to ensure the timely payment of principal and interest on Systemwide debt obligations, (2) to ensure the retirement of protected borrower capital at par or stated value and (3) for other specified purposes. The Insurance Fund is also available for the discretionary uses by the FCSIC of providing assistance to certain troubled System institutions and to cover the operating expenses of the FCSIC. Each System bank has been required to pay premiums, which may be passed on to the Association, into the Insurance Fund, based on its annual average adjusted outstanding insured debt until the monies in the Insurance Fund reach the “secure base amount,” which is defined in the Farm Credit Act as 2.0 percent of the aggregate insured obligations (adjusted to reflect the reduced risk on loans or investments guaranteed by federal or state governments) or other such percentage of the aggregate obligations as the Insurance Corporation in its sole discretion determines to be actuarially sound. When the amount in the Insurance Fund exceeds the secure base amount, the FCSIC is required to reduce premiums as necessary to maintain the Insurance Fund at the 2 percent level. As required by the Farm Credit Act, as amended, the Insurance Corporation may return excess funds above the secure base amount to System institutions.

FCA regulations require borrower information to be held in strict confidence by Farm Credit institutions, their directors, officers and employees. Directors and employees of the Farm Credit institutions are prohibited, except under specified circumstances, from disclosing nonpublic personal information about members.

- B. Operations: The Act sets forth the types of authorized lending activity, persons eligible to borrow and financial services that can be offered by the Association. The Association is authorized to provide, either directly or in participation with other lenders, credit, credit commitments and related services to eligible borrowers. Eligible borrowers include farmers, ranchers, producers or harvesters of aquatic products, rural residents and farm-related businesses. The Association makes and services short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes, and secured long-term real estate mortgage loans, with funding from the Bank.

The Association also serves as an intermediary in offering credit life insurance and multi-peril crop insurance.

The Association's financial condition may be affected by factors that affect the Bank. The financial condition and results of operations of the Bank may materially affect stockholders' investments in the Association. Upon request, stockholders of the Association will be provided with the Farm Credit Bank of Texas and District associations' Annual Report to Stockholders, which includes the combined financial statements of the Bank and all of the district associations. The district's annual report discusses the material aspects of the financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of operations for the Bank and the district. In addition, the district's annual report identifies favorable and unfavorable trends, significant events, uncertainties and the impact of activities of the Insurance Fund.

The lending and financial services offered by the Bank are described in Note 1, "Organization and Operations," of the district's annual report to stockholders.

NOTE 2 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The accounting and reporting policies of the Association conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and prevailing practices within the banking industry. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates are discussed in these footnotes, as applicable. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain amounts in prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current financial statement presentation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Alabama Farm Credit, PCA and Alabama Farm Credit, FLCA. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

A. Recently Issued or Adopted Accounting Pronouncements:

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The guidance requires entities to present either parenthetically on the face of the financial statements or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. The guidance is effective for public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and for non-public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

In June and December 2011, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Comprehensive Income – Presentation of Comprehensive Income." This guidance is intended to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. The main provisions of the guidance provide that an entity that reports items of other comprehensive income has the option to present comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements. This guidance did not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. With either approach, an entity is required to present reclassification adjustments for items reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s). The December 2011 guidance deferred the effective date for the presentation of reclassification adjustments.

This guidance is applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not impact financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in changes to the presentation of comprehensive income.

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled "Balance Sheet - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The guidance requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity's recognized assets and recognized liabilities. The requirements apply to recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset in accordance with the rights of offset set forth in accounting guidance and for those recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset or not. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance entitled "Compensation – Retirement Benefits – Multi-employer Plans." The guidance is intended to provide more information about an employer's financial obligations to a multi-employer pension plan, which should help financial statement users better understand the financial health of significant plans in which the employer

participates. The additional disclosures include: a) a description of the nature of plan benefits, b) a qualitative description of the extent to which the employer could be responsible for the obligations of the plan, including benefits earned by employees during employment with another employer, and c) other quantitative information to help users understand the financial information about the plan. The amendments are effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2012 for nonpublic entities. The amendments were applied retrospectively for all prior periods presented. The adoption did not impact the Association's financial condition or results of operations.

- B. Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents, as included in the statement of cash flows, represent cash on hand and on deposit at local banks.
- C. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses: Long-term real estate mortgage loans generally have original maturities ranging from five to 30 years. Substantially all short- and intermediate-term loans for agricultural production or operating purposes have maturities of 10 years or less. Loans are carried at their principal amount outstanding adjusted for charge-offs and deferred loan fees or costs. Interest on loans is accrued and credited to interest income based upon the daily principal amount outstanding. Authoritative accounting guidance requires loan origination fees and direct loan origination costs, if material, to be capitalized and the net fee or cost to be amortized over the life of the related loan as an adjustment to yield.

Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that not all principal and interest will be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan and are generally considered substandard or doubtful, which is in accordance with the loan rating model, as described below. Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans, restructured loans, and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. A loan is considered contractually past due when any principal repayment or interest payment required by the loan instrument is not received on or before the due date. A loan shall remain contractually past due until it is formally restructured or until the entire amount past due, including principal, accrued interest and penalty interest incurred as a result of past-due status, is collected or otherwise discharged in full.

Impaired loans are generally placed in nonaccrual status when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days (unless adequately secured and in the process of collection) or circumstances indicate that collection of principal and/or interest is in doubt. Additionally, all loans over 180 days past due are placed in nonaccrual status. When a loan is placed in nonaccrual status, accrued interest deemed uncollectible is either reversed (if accrued in the current year) or charged against the allowance for loan losses (if accrued in prior years). Loans are charged off at the time they are determined to be uncollectible.

A restructured loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties the Association grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. A concession is generally granted in order to minimize the Association's economic loss and avoid foreclosure. Concessions vary by program and are borrower-specific and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals or the acceptance of additional collateral in lieu of payments. In limited circumstances, principal may be forgiven. A loan restricted in a troubled debt restructuring is an impaired loan.

Payments received on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to the recorded investment in the loan asset. If collection of the recorded investment in the loan is fully expected and the loan does not have a remaining unrecovered prior charge-off associated with it, the interest portion of payments is recognized as current interest income. Nonaccrual loans may be returned to accrual status when principal and interest are current, the borrower has demonstrated payment performance, there are no unrecovered prior charge-offs, and collection of future payments is no longer in doubt. If previously unrecognized interest income exists at the time the loan is transferred to accrual status, cash received at the time of or subsequent to the transfer is first recorded as interest income until such time as the recorded balance equals the contractual indebtedness of the borrower.

The Bank and related associations use a two-dimensional loan rating model based on an internally generated combined system risk-rating guidance that incorporates a 14-point risk-rating scale to identify and track the probability of borrower default and a separate scale addressing loss given default over a period of time. Probability of default is the probability that a borrower will experience a default within 12 months from the date of the determination of the risk rating. A default is considered to have occurred if the lender believes the borrower will not be able to pay its obligation in full or the borrower is past due more than 90 days. The loss given default is management's estimate as to the anticipated economic loss on a specific loan, assuming default has occurred or is expected to occur within the next 12 months.

Each of the probability of default categories carries a distinct percentage of default probability. The 14-point risk-rating scale provides for granularity of the probability of default, especially in the acceptable ratings. There are nine acceptable categories that range from a borrower of the highest quality to a borrower of minimally acceptable quality. The probability of default between 1 and 9 is very narrow and would reflect almost no default to a minimal default percentage. The probability of default

grows more rapidly as a loan moves from a “9” to other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) and grows significantly as a loan moves to a substandard (viable) level. A substandard (nonviable) rating indicates that the probability of default is almost certain.

The credit risk-rating methodology is a key component of the Association’s allowance for loan losses evaluation, and is generally incorporated into its loan underwriting standards and internal lending limit. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on a periodic evaluation of the loan portfolio by management in which numerous factors are considered, including economic conditions, loan portfolio composition, collateral value, portfolio quality, current production conditions and economic conditions, and prior loan loss experience. Management considers the following factors in determining and supporting the level of allowance for loan losses: the concentration of lending in agriculture, combined with uncertainties associated with farmland values, commodity prices, exports, government assistance programs, regional economic effects and weather-related influences. The allowance for loan losses encompasses various judgments, evaluations and appraisals with respect to the loans and their underlying security that, by their nature, contain elements of uncertainty and imprecision. Changes in the agricultural economy and their impact on borrower repayment capacity will cause these various judgments, evaluations and appraisals to change over time. Accordingly, actual circumstances could vary significantly from the institutions’ expectations and predictions of those circumstances.

The allowance for loan losses includes components for loans individually evaluated for impairment and loans collectively evaluated for impairment. Generally, for loans individually evaluated the allowance for loan losses represents the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate, or at the fair value of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if the loan is collateral-dependent. For those loans collectively evaluated for impairment, the allowance for loan losses is determined using the risk-rating model.

- D. Capital Stock Investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas: The Association’s investment in the Bank is in the form of Class A voting capital stock and allocated retained earnings. This investment is adjusted periodically based on the Association’s proportional utilization of the Bank compared to other district Associations. The Bank requires a minimum stock investment of 2 percent of the Association’s average borrowing from the Bank. This investment is carried at cost plus allocated equities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

If needed to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements, the board of directors of the Bank may increase the percentage of stock held by an Association from 2 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the Bank to a maximum of 5 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the Bank.

- E. Other Property Owned, Net: Other Property Owned, net, consists of real and personal property acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure, is recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs upon acquisition or the related loan’s carrying amount. These properties are included in other assets in the Statement of Condition. Any initial reduction in the carrying amount of a loan to the fair value of the collateral received is charged to the allowance for loan losses. On at least an annual basis, revised estimates to the fair value less cost to sell are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount of the asset, provided that such adjusted value is not in excess of the carrying amount at acquisition. Income and expenses from operations and carrying value adjustments are included in net gains (losses) on Other Property Owned in the statements of comprehensive income.
- F. Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Land is carried at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method using estimated useful lives of the assets. Gains and losses on dispositions are reflected in current operations. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense, and improvements are capitalized.
- G. Employee Benefit Plans: Employees of the Association participate in either the district defined benefit retirement plan (DB Plan) or the defined contribution plan (DC Plan). All eligible employees may participate in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan. The DB Plan is closed to new participants. Participants generally include employees hired prior to January 1, 1996. The DB Plan is noncontributory and provides benefits based on salary and years of service. The “projected unit credit” actuarial method is used for financial reporting and funding purposes for the DB Plan.

Participants in the DC Plan generally include employees who elected to transfer from the DB Plan prior to January 1, 1996, and employees hired on or after January 1, 1996. Participants in the DC Plan direct the placement of their employers’ contributions, 5.0 percent of eligible pay for the year ended December 31, 2013, made on their behalf into various investment alternatives.

The structure of the district’s DB Plan is characterized as multi-employer, since neither the assets, liabilities nor costs of the plan are segregated or separately accounted for by the Associations. No portion of any surplus assets is available to the

associations, nor are the associations required to pay for plan liabilities upon withdrawal from the plans. As a result, the associations recognize as pension cost the required contribution to the plans for the year. Contributions due and unpaid are recognized as a liability. The Association recognized pension costs for the DC Plan of \$127,042, \$106,264 and \$92,648 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the DB Plan, the Association recognized pension costs of \$900,567, \$995,836 and \$863,158 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Association also participates in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan, which requires the Associations to match 100 percent of employee contributions up to 3.0 percent of eligible earnings and to match 50 percent of employee contributions for the next 2.0 percent of employee contributions, up to a maximum employer contribution of 4.0 percent of eligible earnings. Association 401(k) plan costs are expensed as incurred. The Association's contributions to the 401(k) plan were \$119,005, \$104,064 and \$99,551 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In addition to pension benefits, the Association provides certain health care and life insurance benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multi-employer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. Employees hired prior to January 1, 2004 and who are at least 55 years of age (or at least age 50 with 30 years of service) may retire and have their medical premium paid on a percentage of cost sharing basis predicated on length of employment service. Employees hired before this date that have reached the age requirement and have 25 years of service will receive 100 percent of their medical premium paid. Employees hired after January 1, 2004 will be eligible for access only to retiree medical benefits for themselves, but will be responsible for 100 percent of the premium. For further information on the Association's employee benefit plans, see Note 12, "Employee Benefit Plans".

- H. **Income Taxes:** The ACA holding company conducts its business activities through two wholly-owned subsidiaries. Long-term mortgage lending activities are operated through the wholly-owned FLCA subsidiary, which is exempt from federal and state income tax. Short- and intermediate-term lending activities are operated through the wholly-owned PCA subsidiary. Operating expenses are allocated to each subsidiary based on estimated relative service. All significant transactions between the subsidiaries and the parent company have been eliminated in consolidation. The ACA, along with the PCA subsidiary, is subject to income tax. The Association is eligible to operate as a cooperative that qualifies for tax treatment under Subchapter T of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, under specified conditions, the Association can exclude from taxable income amounts distributed as qualified patronage refunds in the form of cash, stock or allocated retained earnings. Provisions for income taxes are made only on those earnings that will not be distributed as qualified patronage refunds. Deferred taxes are provided on the Association's taxable income on the basis of a proportionate share of the tax effect of temporary differences not allocated in patronage form. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred tax assets to the extent that it is more likely than not (over 50.0 percent probability), based on management's estimate, that they will not be realized. The consideration of valuation allowances involves various estimates and assumptions as to future taxable earnings, including the effects of the Association's expected patronage program, which reduces taxable earnings.
- I. **Patronage Refunds From the Farm Credit Bank of Texas:** The Association records patronage refunds from the Bank on an accrual basis.
- J. **Fair Value Measurement:** The FASB guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury, other U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets. Also included in Level 1 are assets held in trust funds, which relate to deferred compensation and our supplemental retirement plan. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace. Pension plan assets that are invested in equity securities, including mutual funds and fixed-income securities that are actively traded, are also included in Level 1.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include the following: (a) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; (b) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active so that they are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, the prices are not current or principal market information is not released publicly; (c) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable such as interest rates and yield curves, prepayment speeds, credit risks and default rates; and (d) inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means. This category generally includes certain U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed debt

securities, corporate debt securities, and derivative contracts. Pension plan assets that are derived from observable inputs, including corporate bonds and mortgage-backed securities, are reported in Level 2. The Association did not hold any Level 2 assets or liabilities as of December 31, 2013.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities are considered Level 3. These unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes certain private equity investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, asset-backed securities, highly structured or long-term derivative contracts, certain loans and Other Property Owned. Pension plan assets such as certain mortgage-backed securities that are supported by little or no market data in determining the fair value are included in Level 3.

The fair value disclosures are presented in Note 14, "Fair Value Measurements."

- K. Off-balance-sheet credit exposures: Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, generally having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. Commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These letters of credit are issued to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being funded when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and third party. The credit risk associated with commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved with extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. Collateral may be obtained based on management's assessment of the customer's creditworthiness.

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES:

A summary of loans as of December 31 follows:

Loan Type	2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Real estate mortgage	\$ 451,232,815	92.6%	\$ 423,338,240	95.0%	\$ 400,467,100	96.8%
Production and intermediate term	21,692,856	4.4%	12,779,924	2.9%	6,024,349	1.5%
Rural residential real estate	7,550,278	1.6%	5,249,783	1.1%	5,126,457	1.2%
Agribusiness:						
Processing and marketing	5,896,475	1.2%	4,261,273	1.0%	2,083,433	0.5%
Farm-related business	303,038	0.1%	140,123	0.0%	145,744	0.0%
Water and waste water	357,114	0.1%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total	\$ 487,032,576	100.0%	\$ 445,769,343	100.0%	\$ 413,847,083	100.0%

The Association may purchase or sell participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following table presents information regarding participations purchased and sold as of December 31, 2013:

	Other Farm Credit Institutions		Non-Farm Credit Institutions		Total	
	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold
	Agribusiness	\$ 5,896,476	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,896,476
Production and intermediate term	2,636,120	-	-	-	2,636,120	-
Real estate mortgage	1,818,159	-	-	-	1,818,159	-
Water and waste water	357,114	-	-	-	357,114	-
Total	\$ 10,707,869	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,707,869	\$ -

Geographic Distribution:

County	2013	2012	2011
De Kalb	22.5%	22.8%	23.7%
Marshall	10.7%	10.2%	10.4%
Lawrence	5.4%	5.9%	6.4%
Jackson	4.9%	5.0%	5.7%
Blount	4.6%	4.8%	4.8%
Other States	2.4%	2.5%	2.8%
Other	49.5%	48.8%	46.2%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The Association's concentration of credit risk in various agricultural commodities is shown in the following table. While the amounts represent the Association's maximum potential credit risk as it relates to recorded loan principal, a substantial portion of the Association's lending activities is collateralized, and the Association's exposure to credit loss associated with lending activities is reduced accordingly. An estimate of the Association's credit risk exposure is considered in the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

Operation/Commodity	2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Poultry and eggs	\$ 243,589,119	50.0%	\$ 225,406,861	50.6%	\$ 205,741,965	49.7%
Livestock, except dairy and poultry	98,170,134	20.2%	91,411,498	20.5%	84,688,526	20.5%
Timber	51,032,736	10.5%	43,936,216	9.9%	49,578,688	12.0%
Field crops except cash grains	43,679,948	9.0%	44,143,810	9.9%	41,494,440	10.0%
Cash grains	25,414,333	5.2%	20,224,978	4.5%	15,033,064	3.6%
Other	25,146,306	5.1%	20,645,980	4.6%	17,310,400	4.2%
Total	\$ 487,032,576	100.0%	\$ 445,769,343	100.0%	\$ 413,847,083	100.0%

The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but typically includes farmland and income-producing property, such as crops and livestock, as well as receivables. Long-term real estate loans are secured by the first liens on the underlying real property. Federal regulations state that long-term real estate loans are not to exceed 85 percent (or 97 percent if guaranteed by a government agency) of the property's appraised value. However, a decline in a property's market value subsequent to loan origination or advances, or other actions necessary to protect the financial interest of the Association in the collateral, may result in the loan-to-value ratios in excess of the regulatory maximum.

One poultry integrator within the Association's territory filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy restructuring during 2008. In 2009, the integrator emerged from bankruptcy. The Association did not experience any adverse effects to growers with Association loans. Loans to this integrator were approved by FCA to be moved back to their appropriate risk rating in mid-June 2013. At the time of reclassification, Association loans to growers of this integrator consisted of 352 loans representing \$83.1 million in volume, of which \$35.7 million were government guaranteed. Loans to this integrator are included in the Special Mention category at December 31, 2012 in the table shown above. The Association adjusted these loans back to the appropriate classification in the third quarter of 2013. The Association will continue to monitor developments within this specific sector of its loan portfolio and properly adjust loan values and any allowance for loan losses to appropriate levels as deemed needed.

Asset Quality:

	2013	2012	2011
Acceptable	97.3%	84.7%	83.2%
Special mention	1.5%	13.3%	14.2%
Substandard	1.2%	2.0%	2.6%
Doubtful	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Loss	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	<u>December 31, 2013</u>	<u>December 31, 2012</u>	<u>December 31, 2011</u>
Nonaccrual loans:			
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,901,977	\$ 3,063,014	\$ 4,955,154
Agribusiness	-	1,451,158	13,096
Rural residential real estate	-	17,588	49,319
Total nonaccrual loans	<u>1,901,977</u>	<u>4,531,760</u>	<u>5,017,569</u>
Accruing restructured loans:			
Real estate mortgage	1,913,965	1,969,255	1,436,637
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due:			
Real estate mortgage	-	212,836	844,267
Total nonperforming loans	3,815,942	6,713,851	7,298,473
Other property owned	2,998,355	3,413,520	3,143,304
Total nonperforming assets	<u>\$ 6,814,297</u>	<u>\$ 10,127,371</u>	<u>\$ 10,441,777</u>

One credit quality indicator utilized by the Bank and the Association is the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable – assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality,
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) – assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness,
- Substandard – assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan,
- Doubtful – assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly questionable, and
- Loss – assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of December 31:

	<u>2013</u>		<u>2012</u>		<u>2011</u>
Real estate mortgage					
Acceptable	97.1	%	84.8	%	83.2
OAEM	1.6		13.5		14.4
Substandard/doubtful	1.3		1.7		2.4
	100.0		100.0		100.0
Production and intermediate term					
Acceptable	99.1		83.8		84.7
OAEM	0.9		16.2		15.3
Substandard/doubtful	-		-		-
	100.0		100.0		100.0
Processing and marketing					
Acceptable	100.0		66.3		28.4
OAEM	-		-		-
Substandard/doubtful	-		33.7		71.6
	100.0		100.0		100.0
Farm-related business					
Acceptable	100.0		90.7		91.1
OAEM	-		-		-
Substandard/doubtful	-		9.3		8.9
	100.0		100.0		100.0
Water and waste water					
Acceptable	100.0		-		-
OAEM	-		-		-
Substandard/doubtful	-		-		-
	100.0		-		-
Rural residential real estate					
Acceptable	100.0		98.9		97.2
OAEM	-		0.8		1.3
Substandard/doubtful	-		0.3		1.5
	100.0		100.0		100.0
Total Loans					
Acceptable	97.3		84.7		83.2
OAEM	1.5		13.3		14.2
Substandard/doubtful	1.2		2.0		2.6
	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

December 31, 2013:	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or less than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment >90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,825,079	\$ 1,154,779	\$ 2,979,858	\$ 452,690,747	\$ 455,670,605	\$ -
Production and intermediate-term	-	-	-	21,996,111	21,996,111	-
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	5,899,977	5,899,977	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	303,038	303,038	-
Water and waste water	-	-	-	357,292	357,292	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	7,567,577	7,567,577	-
Total	\$ 1,825,079	\$ 1,154,779	\$ 2,979,858	\$ 488,814,742	\$ 491,794,600	\$ -

December 31, 2012:	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or less than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment >90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ 4,002,888	\$ 2,003,887	\$ 6,006,775	\$ 421,889,542	\$ 427,896,317	\$ 212,836
Production and intermediate-term	-	-	-	12,983,290	12,983,290	-
Processing and marketing	-	1,426,398	1,426,398	2,836,035	4,262,433	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	140,796	140,796	-
Rural residential real estate	-	17,588	17,588	5,245,567	5,263,155	-
Total	\$ 4,002,888	\$ 3,447,873	\$ 7,450,761	\$ 443,095,230	\$ 450,545,991	\$ 212,836

December 31, 2011:	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or less than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment >90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,139,886	\$ 2,916,007	\$ 6,055,893	\$ 399,246,019	\$ 405,301,912	\$ 844,267
Production and intermediate-term	-	-	-	6,108,689	6,108,689	-
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	2,084,108	2,084,108	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	146,446	146,446	-
Rural residential real estate	49,382	49,319	98,701	5,041,785	5,140,486	-
Total	\$ 3,189,268	\$ 2,965,326	\$ 6,154,594	\$ 412,627,047	\$ 418,781,641	\$ 844,267

Note: The recorded investment in the receivable is the face amount increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium, discount, finance charges or acquisition costs, and may also reflect a previous direct write-down of the investment.

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Troubled debt restructurings are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of December 31, 2013, the total recorded investment (including interest) of troubled debt restructured loans was \$1,913,965 of which were classified as accrual. Troubled debt restructurings are analyzed for allowance for loan losses using the specific analysis method. No specific allowances for loan losses were recorded for troubled debt restructuring.

There were no troubled debt restructurings that occurred during 2013. The following tables present additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings, which includes both accrual and nonaccrual loans with troubled debt restructuring designation, that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The pre-modification outstanding recorded investment represents the recorded investment of the loans as of the quarter end prior to the restructuring. The post modification outstanding recorded investment represents the recorded investment of the loans as of the quarter end the restructuring occurred. Loans formally restructured prior to January 1, 2013, were \$1,969,255 and 1,436,637, in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

December 31, 2012:	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Troubled debt restructurings:		
Real estate mortgage	\$ 555,102	\$ 562,685
December 31, 2011:	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Troubled debt restructurings:		
Real estate mortgage	\$ 467,949	\$ 469,134

In restructurings where principal is forgiven, the amount of the forgiveness is immediately charged off. In restructurings where accrued interest is forgiven, the interest is reversed (if current year interest) or charged off (if prior year interest). There were no charge-offs recorded at the modification date for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The predominate form of concession granted for troubled debt restructuring included the extension of terms due to cashflow constrictions enabling the borrower to fund the original payment amount. At times these terms might be offset with incremental payments, collateral or new borrower guarantees, in which case we assess all of the modified terms to determine if the overall modification qualifies as a troubled debt restructuring.

The Association did not have any loans that met the accounting criteria as a troubled debt restructuring that occurred from January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2013, and for which there was a payment default during that same period. A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured.

There were no commitments to lend funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring for the periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The following table provides information on outstanding loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings at period end. These loans are included as impaired loans in the impaired loan table:

	Loans Modified as TDRs		
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Troubled debt restructurings:			
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,913,965	\$ 1,969,255	\$ 1,436,637

The Association did not have any troubled debt restructurings classified as nonaccrual status for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

	<u>Recorded Investment at 12/31/2013</u>	<u>Unpaid Principal Balance^a</u>	<u>Related Allowance</u>	<u>Average Impaired Loans</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 291,177	\$ 305,972	\$ 221,730	\$ 1,275,484	\$ -
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	543,524	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	7,858	-
Total	\$ 291,177	\$ 305,972	\$ 221,730	\$ 1,826,866	\$ -
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,524,765	\$ 3,956,831	\$ -	\$ 4,174,104	\$ 161,266
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	541,872	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	2,448	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	3,484	-
Total	\$ 3,524,765	\$ 3,956,831	\$ -	\$ 4,721,908	\$ 161,266
Total impaired loans:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,815,942	\$ 4,262,803	\$ 221,730	\$ 5,449,588	\$ 161,266
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	1,085,396	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	10,306	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	3,484	-
Total	\$ 3,815,942	\$ 4,262,803	\$ 221,730	\$ 6,548,774	\$ 161,266
	<u>Recorded Investment at 12/31/2012</u>	<u>Unpaid Principal Balance^a</u>	<u>Related Allowance</u>	<u>Average Impaired Loans</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,019,088	\$ 1,395,354	\$ 930,565	\$ 1,708,381	\$ -
Processing and marketing	760,722	760,722	452,989	172,430	-
Farm-related business	13,096	13,096	13,096	10,477	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	4,668	-
Total	\$ 1,792,906	\$ 2,169,172	\$ 1,396,650	\$ 1,895,956	\$ -
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 4,226,017	\$ 4,239,714	\$ -	\$ 4,661,697	\$ 161,823
Processing and marketing	677,340	677,340	-	153,530	-
Farm-related business	-	-	-	2,619	-
Rural residential real estate	17,588	17,588	-	12,951	-
Total	\$ 4,920,945	\$ 4,934,642	\$ -	\$ 4,830,797	\$ 161,823
Total impaired loans:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,245,105	\$ 5,635,068	\$ 930,565	\$ 6,370,078	\$ 161,823
Processing and marketing	1,438,062	1,438,062	452,989	325,960	-
Farm-related business	13,096	13,096	13,096	13,096	-
Rural residential real estate	17,588	17,588	-	17,619	-
Total	\$ 6,713,851	\$ 7,103,814	\$ 1,396,650	\$ 6,726,753	\$ 161,823

	Recorded Investment at 12/31/2011	Unpaid Principal Balance ^a	Related Allowance	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,816,161	\$ 3,219,710	\$ 609,557	\$ 4,283,505	\$ -
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,419,897	\$ 5,417,494	\$ -	\$ 2,271,971	\$ 104,600
Farm-related business	13,096	13,096	-	13,845	-
Rural residential real estate	49,319	49,319	-	17,128	-
Total	<u>\$ 5,482,312</u>	<u>\$ 5,479,909</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,302,944</u>	<u>\$ 104,600</u>
Total impaired loans:					
Real estate mortgage	\$ 7,236,058	\$ 8,637,204	\$ 609,557	\$ 6,555,476	\$ 104,600
Farm-related business	13,096	13,096	-	13,845	-
Rural residential real estate	49,319	49,319	-	17,128	-
Total	<u>\$ 7,298,473</u>	<u>\$ 8,699,619</u>	<u>\$ 609,557</u>	<u>\$ 6,586,449</u>	<u>\$ 104,600</u>

^a Unpaid principal balance represents the recorded principal balance of the loan.

There were no material commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose loans were classified as impaired at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Interest income on nonaccrual and accruing restructured loans that would have been recognized under the original terms of the loans at December 31:

	2013	2012	2011
Interest income which would have been recognized under the original terms	\$ 371,062	\$ 385,879	\$ 374,062
Less: interest income recognized	<u>(161,266)</u>	<u>(161,823)</u>	<u>(104,600)</u>
Foregone interest income	<u>\$ 209,796</u>	<u>\$ 224,056</u>	<u>\$ 269,462</u>

A summary of the changes in the allowance for credit losses and the ending balance of loans outstanding are as follows:

	<u>Real Estate Mortgage</u>	<u>Production and Intermediate Term</u>	<u>Agribusiness</u>	<u>Water and Waste Water</u>	<u>Rural Residential Real Estate</u>	<u>Total</u>
Allowance for Credit Losses:						
Balance at						
December 31, 2012	\$ 2,830,928	\$ 22,138	\$ 467,703	\$ -	\$ 5,395	\$ 3,326,164
Charge-offs	(677,004)	-	(458,183)	-	(7,566)	(1,142,753)
Recoveries	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provision for loan losses	503,726	(2,914)	2,977	650	9,234	513,673
Balance at						
December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 2,657,650</u>	<u>\$ 19,224</u>	<u>\$ 12,497</u>	<u>\$ 650</u>	<u>\$ 7,063</u>	<u>\$ 2,697,084</u>
Ending Balance:						
individually evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 335,660</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 335,660</u>
Ending Balance:						
collectively evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 2,321,990</u>	<u>\$ 19,224</u>	<u>\$ 12,497</u>	<u>\$ 650</u>	<u>\$ 7,063</u>	<u>\$ 2,361,424</u>
Recorded Investment in Loans Outstanding plus accrued interest:						
Ending Balance at						
December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 455,670,605</u>	<u>\$ 21,996,111</u>	<u>\$ 6,203,015</u>	<u>\$ 357,292</u>	<u>\$ 7,567,577</u>	<u>\$ 491,794,600</u>
Ending balance for loans individually evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 4,366,060</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 4,366,060</u>
Ending balance for loans collectively evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 451,304,545</u>	<u>\$ 21,996,111</u>	<u>\$ 6,203,015</u>	<u>\$ 357,292</u>	<u>\$ 7,567,577</u>	<u>\$ 487,428,540</u>

	Real Estate Mortgage	Production and Intermediate Term	Agribusiness	Water and Waste Water	Rural Residential Real Estate	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:						
Balance at						
December 31, 2011	\$ 2,300,327	\$ 6,634	\$ 114	\$ -	\$ 3,930	\$ 2,311,005
Charge-offs	(310,097)	-	-	-	(26,576)	(336,673)
Recoveries	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provision for loan losses	840,698	15,504	467,589	-	28,041	1,351,832
Balance at						
December 31, 2012	<u>\$ 2,830,928</u>	<u>\$ 22,138</u>	<u>\$ 467,703</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,395</u>	<u>\$ 3,326,164</u>
Ending Balance:						
individually evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 930,565</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 466,085</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,396,650</u>
Ending Balance:						
collectively evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 1,900,363</u>	<u>\$ 22,138</u>	<u>\$ 1,618</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,395</u>	<u>\$ 1,929,514</u>
Recorded Investment in Loans Outstanding:						
Ending Balance at						
December 31, 2012	<u>\$ 427,896,317</u>	<u>\$ 12,983,290</u>	<u>\$ 4,403,229</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,263,155</u>	<u>\$ 450,545,991</u>
Ending balance for loans individually evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 4,355,327</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,451,158</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 17,588</u>	<u>\$ 5,824,073</u>
Ending balance for loans collectively evaluated for impairment	<u>\$ 423,540,990</u>	<u>\$ 12,983,290</u>	<u>\$ 2,952,071</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,245,567</u>	<u>\$ 444,721,918</u>

	Real Estate Mortgage	Production and Intermediate Term	Agribusiness	Water and Waste Water	Rural Residential Real Estate	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:						
Balance at						
December 31, 2010	\$ 1,363,462	\$ 2,281	\$ 121,002	\$ -	\$ 3,879	\$ 1,490,624
Charge-offs	(740,463)	-	-	-	-	(740,463)
Recoveries	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provision for loan losses	1,677,549	4,132	(120,888)	-	51	1,560,844
Balance at						
December 31, 2011	<u>\$ 2,300,548</u>	<u>\$ 6,413</u>	<u>\$ 114</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 3,930</u>	<u>\$ 2,311,005</u>
Ending Balance:						
individually evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 621,047	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 621,047
Ending Balance:						
collectively evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 1,679,501	\$ 6,413	\$ 114	\$ -	\$ 3,930	\$ 1,689,958
Recorded Investment in Loans Outstanding:						
Ending Balance at						
December 31, 2011	\$ 405,301,912	\$ 6,108,689	\$ 2,230,555	\$ -	\$ 5,140,486	\$ 418,781,642
Ending balance for loans						
individually evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 7,259,162	\$ -	\$ 13,096	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,272,258
Ending balance for loans						
collectively evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 398,042,750	\$ 6,108,689	\$ 2,217,459	\$ -	\$ 5,140,486	\$ 411,509,384

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENT IN THE FARM CREDIT BANK OF TEXAS:

The investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas is a requirement of borrowing from the Bank and is carried at cost plus allocated equities, not fair value, in the accompanying balance sheet. Estimating the fair value of the Association's investment in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas is not practicable because the stock is not traded. The Association owns 3.5 percent of the issued stock of the Bank as of December 31, 2013. As of that date, the Bank's assets totaled \$16.2 billion and members' equity totaled \$1.4 billion. The Bank's earnings were \$179.8 million during 2013.

NOTE 5 — PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT:

Premises and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2013	2012	2011
Land and improvements	\$ 746,868	\$ 746,868	\$ 746,868
Building and improvements	2,752,250	2,720,826	2,714,502
Furniture and equipment	492,211	599,841	607,023
Computer equipment and software	242,808	212,273	170,891
Automobiles	584,540	532,895	510,644
	<u>4,818,677</u>	<u>4,812,703</u>	<u>4,749,928</u>
Accumulated depreciation	(1,268,940)	(1,215,427)	(1,095,251)
Total	<u>\$ 3,549,737</u>	<u>\$ 3,597,276</u>	<u>\$ 3,654,677</u>

The Association leased office space in Moulton on a month-to-month basis during 2013. The Association does not have a binding contract, and the lease can be terminated by the Association at any time without prejudice. Lease expense was \$600 per year for each of the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The Association built an office for our Tusculumbia branch, therefore relieving the need for leased office space in Colbert County. There are no minimum annual lease payments for the next five years.

NOTE 6 – OTHER PROPERTY OWNED, NET:

Net gain (loss) on Other Property Owned, net consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Gain (loss) on sale, net	\$ 244,224	\$ (4,668)	\$ 20,900
Carrying value adjustments	(121,106)	(185,768)	(102,423)
Operating expense, net	(56,729)	(136,889)	(75,827)
Net gain (loss) on other property owned	<u>\$ 66,389</u>	<u>\$ (327,325)</u>	<u>\$ (157,350)</u>

The Association had 18 properties in Other Property Owned at the beginning of 2013. During 2013, the Association acquired eight other properties from various counties in north Alabama. The Association also disposed of 17 properties during 2013 resulting in a net gain of \$66,389. At December 31, 2013, the Association held 11 properties with a carrying value of \$2,998,355, net of allowance, which consisted of approximately 1,294 acres of land and an industrial ethanol facility.

NOTE 7 – OTHER ASSETS AND OTHER LIABILITIES:

Other assets comprised the following at December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Guaranteed loan receivables	\$ 168,342	\$ 50,680	\$ 266,441
Investment in FCS association captive insurance	231,595	193,642	170,693
Other	42,685	39,857	41,489
Total	<u>\$ 442,622</u>	<u>\$ 284,179</u>	<u>\$ 478,623</u>

Other liabilities comprised the following at December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 1,533,982	\$ 1,737,353	\$ 1,473,653
Accounts payable other	723,617	608,135	447,371
Accrued leave	225,616	212,036	197,737
FCS insurance premium	298,316	142,372	160,286
Other	109,097	121,062	84,990
Total	<u>\$ 2,890,628</u>	<u>\$ 2,820,958</u>	<u>\$ 2,364,037</u>

NOTE 8 — NOTE PAYABLE TO THE BANK:

The interest rate risk inherent in the Association’s loan portfolio is substantially mitigated through the funding relationship with the Bank. The Bank manages interest rate risk through its direct loan pricing and asset/liability management process. The Association’s indebtedness to the Bank represents borrowings by the Association to fund the majority of its loan portfolio. The indebtedness is collateralized by a pledge of substantially all of the Association’s assets, and is governed by a general financing agreement. The interest rate on the direct loan is based upon the Bank’s cost of funding the loans the Association has outstanding to its borrowers. The indebtedness continues in effect until the expiration date of the general financing agreement, which is September 30, 2015, unless sooner terminated by the Bank upon the occurrence of an event of default, or by the Association, in the event of a breach of this agreement by the Bank, upon giving the Bank 30 calendar days’ prior written notice, or in all other circumstances, upon giving the Bank 120 days’ prior written notice.

The total amount and the weighted average interest rate of the Association’s direct loan from the Bank at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$409,070,529 at 1.8 percent, \$372,760,946 at 2.0 percent and \$345,999,951 at 2.5 percent, respectively.

Under the Act, the Association is obligated to borrow only from the Bank unless the Bank approves borrowing from other funding sources. The Bank and FCA regulations have established limitations on the Association's ability to borrow funds based on specified factors or formulas relating primarily to credit quality and financial condition. At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association's note payable was within the specified limitations. The maximum amount the Association may borrow from the Bank as of December 31, 2013, was \$489,561,370, as defined by the general financing agreement.

In addition to borrowing limits, the financing agreement establishes certain covenants including limits on leases, investments, other debt, and dividend and patronage distributions; minimum standards for return on assets and for liquidity; and provisions for conducting business, maintaining records, reporting financial information, and establishing policies and procedures. Remedies specified in the general financing agreement associated with the covenants include additional reporting requirements, development of action plans, increases in interest rates on indebtedness, reduction of lending limits or repayment of indebtedness. As of and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association was not subject to remedies associated with the covenants in the general financing agreement.

NOTE 9 — MEMBERS' EQUITY:

A description of the Association's capitalization requirements, protection mechanisms, regulatory capitalization requirements and restrictions, and equities is provided below.

Protection of certain borrower equity is provided under the Act that requires the Association, when retiring protected borrower equity, to retire such equity at par or stated value regardless of its book value. Protected borrower equity includes capital stock, participation certificates and allocated equities that were outstanding as of January 6, 1988, or were issued or allocated prior to October 6, 1988. If an Association is unable to retire protected borrower equity at par value or stated value, amounts required to retire this equity would be obtained from the Insurance Fund.

In accordance with the Act and the Association's capitalization bylaws, each borrower is required to invest in the Association as a condition of borrowing. The investment in Class A capital stock (for farm loans) or participation certificates (for rural home and farm-related business loans) is equal to 2.0 percent of the loan amount, prior to 2004. In March 2004, on new loans only, the Association changed its stock investment requirement to the lesser of 2.0 percent of the loan amount, or \$1,000. In November 2005, the board of directors approved a stock reduction to equalize the stock of all borrowers to 2.0 percent or \$1,000, whichever is less.

At a July 2013 special stockholders meeting, the Association's stockholders approved an amendment to the Association's capitalization bylaws addressing the amount of stock a borrower must purchase as a condition of borrowing from the Association. The change affected the range within which the board of directors is authorized to set the stock requirement, and also the manner in which the stock requirement is calculated.

Effective September 1, 2013, the stock requirement changed. This change requires stock requirements to be applied at the borrower level instead of the loan level. The requirement is measured as 2 percent of the aggregate of all of a borrower's loans, up to a maximum of \$1,000. Further, if needed to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements, the maximum amount to which the board of directors may increase the stock requirement to 10 percent of the amount of each of the borrower's loans.

In August 2013, the board of directors approved a "stock equalization" action, or the refund of excess stock amounts to borrowers impacted by the conversion of the stock requirement from the loan level to the borrower level. The stock equalization refund had a minimal impact on the Association's permanent capital ratio. The stock equalization refund of \$782,125 was paid in the third quarter of 2013.

The borrower acquires ownership of the capital stock or participation certificates at the time the loan is made, usually by adding the aggregate par value of the capital stock or participation certificates to the principal amount of the related loan obligation. The capital stock or participation certificates are subject to a first lien by the Association. Retirement of such equities will generally be at the lower of par or book value, and repayment of a loan does not automatically result in retirement of the corresponding capital stock or participation certificates.

If needed to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements, the board of directors of the Association may increase the percentage of stock requirement for each borrower up to a maximum of 10.0 percent of the loan amount.

Each owner of Class A capital stock is entitled to a single vote, while participation certificates provide no voting rights to their owners.

Within two years of repayment of a loan, the Association capital bylaws require the conversion of any borrower's outstanding Class A to Class C stock. Class C stock has no voting rights except in a case where a new issuance of preferred stock has been

submitted to stockholders affected by the preference. Redemption of Class C shares is made solely at the discretion of the Association's board of directors. At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association had no Class C stock.

All borrower stock is at risk. As such, losses that result in impairment of capital stock or participation certificates shall be borne on a pro rata basis by all holders of Class A and participation certificates. In the event of liquidation of the Association, capital stock and participation certificates would be utilized as necessary to satisfy any remaining obligations in excess of the amounts realized on the sale or liquidation of assets. Any excess of the amounts realized on the sale or liquidation of assets over the Association's obligations to external parties and to the Bank would be distributed to the Association's stockholders.

Patronage distributions may be paid on the capital stock and participation certificates of the Association, as the board of directors may determine by resolution, subject to capitalization requirements as defined by the FCA. Amounts not distributed are retained as unallocated retained earnings. The following patronage distributions were declared and paid in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

<u>Date Declared</u>	<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Patronage</u>
January 2013	March 2013	\$ 5,334,088
January 2012	March 2012	\$ 4,928,805
January 2011	March 2011	\$ 3,637,136

The Association accrued a \$6,275,367 patronage payment to its stockholders based on its 2013 obligating patronage resolution that was declared by the board of directors in December 2013. The payment resolution was approved January 2014 and will be disbursed in March 2014.

The FCA's capital adequacy regulations require the Association to achieve permanent capital and total surplus of at least 7.0 percent and core surplus of at least 3.5 percent of risk-adjusted assets and off-balance-sheet commitments. Failure to meet the ratio requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by the FCA that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Association's financial statements. The Association is prohibited from reducing permanent capital by retiring stock or making certain other distributions to stockholders unless prescribed capital standards are met. As of December 31, 2013, the Association is not prohibited from retiring stock or distributing earnings. The Association's permanent capital ratio, core surplus ratio and total surplus ratio at December 31, 2013, were 18.8 percent, 18.3 percent and 18.3 percent, respectively.

As described in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," included in this annual report, the Bank may increase the percentage of stock held by an association from 2.0 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the Bank to a maximum of 5.0 percent of the average outstanding balance of borrowings from the Bank. Currently, the required stock investment in the Bank is 2.0 percent of the average borrowings from the previous 12 months. This stock investment reduces the amount of Association capital available for inclusion in the Association's capital adequacy calculations.

An FCA regulation empowers the FCA to direct a transfer of funds or equities by one or more System institutions to another System institution under specified circumstances. The Association has not been called upon to initiate any transfers and is not aware of any proposed action under this regulation.

At December 31, the Association had the following shares of Class A capital stock and participation certificates outstanding at a par value of \$5 per share:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Class A stock	400,414	514,856	486,799
Participation certificates	17,206	19,289	17,915
Total	417,620	534,145	504,714

The Association's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) relates entirely to its nonpension other postretirement benefits. The following table summarizes the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income at January 1	\$ (355,772)	\$ (130,405)	\$ 43,878
Amortization of prior service costs (credit) included in salaries and employee benefits	<u>266,491</u>	<u>(225,367)</u>	<u>(174,283)</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31	<u>\$ (89,281)</u>	<u>\$ (355,772)</u>	<u>\$ (130,405)</u>

NOTE 10 – CAPITAL MARKETS:

Until the second quarter of 2007, the Association participated in the Capital Markets of the South (CMS), a joint venture created in 2003 for the purpose of expanding the participants' lending opportunities. The CMS group was comprised of the Association, Alabama Ag Credit, ACA, Mississippi Land Bank, ACA, Southern Ag Credit, ACA, and Louisiana Land Bank, ACA. During the second quarter of 2007, the CMS members decided to discontinue and dissolve the joint venture. The associations will continue to service the existing CMS loan portfolio, with revenue and expenses continuing to be shared accordingly as noted below, until such time as all of the loans are fully matured or paid off.

Pursuant to the terms of the alliance, each of the five CMS participating associations generally shares equally in the costs of operating the venture. The Association's pro rata share of income from CMS operations is recorded in the statement of income in their respective line items. The Association's pro rata share of expenses from CMS operations is recorded in the statement of income in the line item "purchased services."

As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Association had CMS-related loan volume outstanding in the amount of \$160,000, \$6,046,423 and \$8,375,151, respectively. In addition, the Association had unfunded commitments on CMS loans of \$0, \$1,536,000 and \$2,234,863 as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Association currently holds one CMS loan for \$160,000 which is fully allocated for in the specific allowance at December 31, 2013.

NOTE 11 — INCOME TAXES:

The provision for (benefit from) income tax differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pretax income as follows for the years ended December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Federal tax at statutory rate	\$ 3,775,937	\$ 1,300,473	\$ 1,110,134
State tax, net	701,245	602,715	514,501
Effect of nontaxable FLCA subsidiary	(4,572,993)	(1,998,003)	(1,626,915)
Change in valuation allowance	95,811	94,815	2,280
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Deferred tax assets and liabilities in accordance with accounting guidance, “Accounting for Income Taxes,” are comprised of the following at December 31:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
<u>Deferred Tax Assets</u>			
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 9,005	\$ 7,497	\$ 1,316
Loss carryforwards	351,688	108,937	13,019
Deferred origination (costs) fees	(26,823)	1,305	8,588
Gross deferred tax assets	<u>333,870</u>	<u>117,739</u>	<u>22,923</u>
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	<u>(333,870)</u>	<u>(117,739)</u>	<u>(22,923)</u>
<u>Deferred Tax Liabilities</u>			
Gross deferred tax liabilities	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

At December 31, 2013, the Association has a net operating loss carryforward of \$316,688 available to offset against future taxable income that will expire in 2033.

The Association recorded valuation allowances of \$333,870, \$117,739 and \$22,923 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Association will continue to evaluate the realizability of the deferred tax assets and adjust the valuation allowance accordingly.

Upon adoption of FASB guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes on January 1, 2007, the Association did not need to recognize a tax liability for any uncertain tax positions and at December 31, 2011, did not recognize a tax liability or any uncertain tax position.

NOTE 12 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

Employee Retirement Plans: Employees of the Association participate in either the defined benefit retirement plan (DB Plan) or the defined contributions plan (DC Plan) and are eligible to participate in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan.

These plans are described more fully in section I of Note 2, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.” The structure of the district’s DB plan is characterized as multi-employer, since neither the assets, liabilities nor cost of any plan is segregated or separately accounted for by participating employers (Bank and associations). No portion of any surplus assets is available to any participating employer. As a result, participating employers of the plan only recognize as cost the required contributions for the period and a liability for any unpaid contributions required for the period of their financial statements. Plan obligations, assets and the components of annual benefit expenses are recorded and reported upon district combination only. The Association records current contributions to the DB plan as an expense in the current year.

The CEO and certain executive or highly-compensated employees in the Bank are eligible to participate in a separate nonqualified supplemental 401(k) plan, named the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance Nonqualified Supplemental 401(k) Plan (Supplemental 401(k) Plan). This plan allows district employers to elect to participate in any or all of the following benefits:

- Restored Employer Contributions – to allow “make-up” contributions for eligible employees whose benefits to the qualified 401(k) plan were limited by the Internal Revenue Code during the year
- Elective Deferrals – to allow eligible employees to make pre-tax deferrals of compensation above and beyond any deferrals into the qualified 401(k) plan
- Discretionary Contributions – to allow participating employers to make a discretionary contribution to an eligible employee’s account in the plan, and to designate a vesting schedule

There were no contributions made to this plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. There were no payments made from the Supplemental 401(k) plan to active employees during 2013, 2012 or 2011.

The DB plan is noncontributory and benefits are based on salary and years of service. The legal name of the plan is Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan; its employer identification number is 74-1110170. The DB plan is not subject to any contractual expiration dates. The DB plan’s funding policy is to fund current year benefits expected to be earned by covered employees plus an amount to improve the accumulated benefit obligation funded status by a percentage approved by the plan sponsor. The plan sponsor is the board of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. The “projected unit credit” actuarial method is used for both financial

reporting and funding purposes. District employers have the option of providing enhanced retirement benefits, under certain conditions, within the DB plan, to facilitate reorganization and/or restructuring. Actuarial information regarding the DB pension plan accumulated benefit obligation and plan asset is calculated for the district as a whole and is presented in the district's Annual Report to Stockholders. The actuarial present value of vested and nonvested accumulated benefit obligation exceeded the net assets of the DB plan as of December 31, 2013.

The risks of participating in these multi-employer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

- a. Assets contributed to the multi-employer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
- b. If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- c. If the Association chooses to stop participating in some of its multi-employer plans, it may be required to pay the plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

The following table includes additional information regarding the funded status of the plan, the Association's contributions, and the percentage of Association contribution to total plan contributions for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Funded status of plan	77.3 %	65.0 %	64.9 %
Association's contribution	\$ 900,567	\$ 995,836	\$ 863,158
Percentage of association's contribution to total contributions	5.5 %	6.3 %	3.8 %

The funded status presented above is based on the percentage of plan assets to projected benefit obligations. DB plan funding is based on the percentage of plan assets to the accumulated benefit obligation, which was 86.1 percent, 72.7 percent and 72.6 percent at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Other Postretirement Benefits: In addition to pension benefits, the Association provides certain health care benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multi-employer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. Employees hired prior to January 1, 2004 and who are at least 55 years of age (or at least age 50 with 30 years of service) may retire and have their medical premium paid on a percentage of cost sharing basis predicated on length of employment service. Employees hired before this date, that have reached the age requirement and have 25 years of service will receive 100 percent of their medical premium paid. Employees hired after January 1, 2004, will be eligible for access only to retiree medical benefits for themselves, but will be responsible for 100 percent of the premium.

The following table reflects the benefit obligation, cost and actuarial assumptions for the Association's other postretirement benefits:

Retiree Welfare Benefit Plans

Disclosure Information Related to Retirement Benefits	2013	2012	2011
Change in Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation			
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ 1,737,353	\$ 1,473,653	\$ 1,280,135
Service cost	36,791	28,925	25,633
Interest cost	75,443	74,332	72,326
Plan participants' contributions	10,878	11,286	9,324
Actuarial (gain) loss	(273,162)	196,448	128,829
Benefits paid	(53,321)	(47,291)	(42,594)
Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 1,533,982	\$ 1,737,353	\$ 1,473,653
Change in Plan Assets			
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Actual return on plan assets	-	-	-
Company contributions	42,443	36,005	33,270
Plan participants' contributions	10,878	11,286	9,324
Benefits paid	(53,321)	(47,291)	(42,594)
Plan assets at fair value, end of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Funded status of the plan	\$ (1,533,982)	\$ (1,737,353)	\$ (1,473,653)
Amounts Recognized in Statement of Financial Position			
Current liabilities	\$ (57,786)	\$ (50,366)	\$ (43,126)
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ (1,476,196)	\$ (1,686,987)	\$ (1,430,527)
Total	\$ (1,533,982)	\$ (1,737,353)	\$ (1,473,653)
Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			
Net actuarial loss	\$ 227,731	\$ 534,594	\$ 356,924
Prior service credit	(138,450)	(178,822)	(226,519)
Total	\$ 89,281	\$ 355,772	\$ 130,405
Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Obligations at Year End			
Measurement date	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011
Discount rate	5.2%	4.4%	5.1%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year (pre-/post-65) - medical	7.5%/6.5%	7.3%/6.5%	8.5%/6.8%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year - Rx	6.5%	7.8%	8.0%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2024	2023	2018

Total Cost	2013	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 36,791	\$ 28,925	\$ 25,633
Interest cost	75,443	74,332	72,326
Amortization of:			
Unrecognized prior service cost	(40,372)	(47,697)	(54,544)
Unrecognized net loss	<u>33,701</u>	<u>18,778</u>	<u>9,090</u>
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$ 105,563	\$ 74,338	\$ 52,505
Accounting for settlements/curtailments/special termination benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other Changes in Plan Assets and Projected Benefit Obligation Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income			
Net actuarial (gain) loss	\$ (273,162)	\$ 196,448	\$ 128,829
Amortization of net actuarial gain	(33,701)	(18,778)	(9,090)
Prior service cost	<u>40,372</u>	<u>47,697</u>	<u>54,544</u>
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (266,491)	\$ 225,367	\$ 174,283
AOCI Amounts Expected to be Amortized Into Expense in 2014			
Unrecognized prior service cost	(40,372)	(40,372)	(47,697)
Unrecognized net loss	<u>7,143</u>	<u>33,701</u>	<u>18,778</u>
Total	\$ (33,229)	\$ (6,671)	\$ (28,919)
Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Benefit Cost			
Measurement date	12/31/2012	12/31/2011	12/31/2010
Discount rate	4.4%	5.1%	5.7%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year (pre-/post-65) - medical	7.3%/6.5%	8.5%/6.8%	7.5%/6.5%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year - Rx	7.8%	8.0%	10.0%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2023	2018	2017
Expected Future Cash Flows			
Expected Benefit Payments (net of employee contributions)			
Fiscal 2014	\$ 57,786		
Fiscal 2015	62,030		
Fiscal 2016	66,283		
Fiscal 2017	70,878		
Fiscal 2018–2022	83,535		
Fiscal 2019–2023	447,804		
Expected Contributions			
Fiscal 2014	\$ 57,786		

NOTE 13 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

Directors of the Association, except for any director-elected directors, are required to be borrowers/stockholders of the Association. Also, in the ordinary course of business, the Association may enter into loan origination or servicing transactions with its officers, relatives of officers and directors, or with organizations with which such persons are associated. Such loans are subject to special approval requirements contained in FCA regulations and are made on the same terms, including interest rates, amortization schedule and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated borrowers.

Total loans to such persons for the Association amounted to \$9,192,895, \$7,414,281 and \$6,772,062 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During 2013, \$3,276,062 of new loans were made, and repayments totaled \$1,497,448. In the opinion of management, no such loans outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 involved more than a normal risk of collectibility.

Expenses included in purchased services may include purchased services such as administrative services, marketing, information systems and accounting services and allocations of expenses incurred by the Bank and passed through to the associations, such as FCSIC expenses. The Bank charges the individual associations directly for these services based on each association's proportionate usage. These expenses totaled \$339,211, \$173,453 and \$253,025 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of April 2011, the Bank only bills associations for direct pass-through expenses and no longer bills for allocated expenses.

The Association received patronage payments from the Bank totaling \$1,849,359, \$1,730,334 and \$1,642,811 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 14 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," for additional information.

With regard to impaired loans and Other Property Owned, it is not practicable to provide specific information on inputs as each collateral property is unique. System institutions utilize appraisals to value these loans and Other Property Owned and take into account unobservable inputs such as income and expense, comparable sales, replacement cost and comparability adjustments.

Information about Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements:

	<u>Valuation Technique(s)</u>	<u>Input</u>
Cash	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts Probability of default Loss severity
Other interest bearing liabilities	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized below:

December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurement Using			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Loans	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,447	\$ 69,447
Other property owned	-	-	2,998,355	2,998,355
December 31, 2012	Fair Value Measurement Using			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Loans	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,095,165	\$ 1,095,165
Other property owned	-	-	3,413,520	3,413,520
December 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurement Using			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Loans	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,206,604	\$ 1,206,604
Other property owned	-	-	3,143,304	3,143,304

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the balance sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2013				Total Fair Value
	Total Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:					
Cash	\$ 17,899	\$ 17,899	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,899
Net loans	484,266,045	-	-	485,313,574	485,313,574
Total Assets	<u>\$ 484,283,944</u>	<u>\$ 17,899</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 485,313,574</u>	<u>\$ 485,331,473</u>
Liabilities:					
Note payable to Farm Credit Bank of Texas	\$ 409,070,529	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 409,950,374	\$ 409,950,374
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 409,070,529</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 409,950,374</u>	<u>\$ 409,950,374</u>

	December 31, 2012				Total Fair Value
	Total Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:					
Cash	\$ 27,178	\$ 27,178	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,178
Net loans	441,348,014	-	-	448,245,088	448,245,088
Total Assets	<u>\$ 441,375,192</u>	<u>\$ 27,178</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 448,245,088</u>	<u>\$ 448,272,266</u>
Liabilities:					
Note payable to Farm Credit Bank of Texas	\$ 373,760,946	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 378,571,979	\$ 378,571,979
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 373,760,946</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 378,571,979</u>	<u>\$ 378,571,979</u>

	December 31, 2011	
	Fair Value Measurement Using	
	Total Carrying Amount	Total Fair Value
Assets:		
Cash	\$ 387,514	\$ 387,514
Net loans	411,536,078	417,665,807
Total Assets	<u>\$ 411,923,592</u>	<u>\$ 418,053,321</u>
Liabilities:		
Note payable to Farm Credit Bank of Texas	\$ 345,999,951	\$ 351,165,744
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 345,999,951</u>	<u>\$ 351,165,744</u>

Valuation Techniques

As more fully discussed in Note 2, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used by the Bank and associations for assets and liabilities:

Loans

For certain loans evaluated for impairment under impairment guidance, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans are collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management’s knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

Other Property Owned

Other Property Owned is generally classified as Level 3. The process for measuring the fair value of Other Property Owned involves the use of appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset’s fair value. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Note Payable

The note payable to the Bank is not regularly traded; thus, quoted market prices are not available. Fair value of this instrument is discounted based on the association’s and Bank’s loan rates as well as on management estimates. For the purposes of this estimate it is assumed that the cash flow on the note is equal to the principal payments on the association’s loan receivables plus accrued interest on the note payable. This assumption implies that earnings on the association’s interest margin are used to fund operating expenses and capital expenditures. Management has no basis to determine whether the fair values would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale.

NOTE 15 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

In addition to those commitments and contingencies discussed in Note 2, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” the Association is involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of legal counsel and management, there are no legal proceedings at this time that are likely to materially affect the Association.

The Association may participate in financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk to satisfy the financing needs of its borrowers in the form of commitments to extend credit and commercial letters of credit. These financial instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the financial statements. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a borrower as long as there is not a violation of any condition established in the contract. Commercial letters of credit are agreements to pay a beneficiary under conditions specified in the letter of credit. Commitments and letters of credit

generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. At December 31, 2013, \$32,368,313 of unfunded credit commitments and no commercial letters of credit were outstanding.

Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. However, these credit-related financial instruments have off-balance-sheet credit risk because their amounts are not reflected on the balance sheet until funded or drawn upon. The credit risk associated with issuing commitments and letters of credit is substantially the same as that involved in extending loans to borrowers, and management applies the same credit policies to these commitments. Upon fully funding a commitment, the credit risk amounts are equal to the contract amounts, assuming that borrowers fail completely to meet their obligations and the collateral or other security is of no value. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower.

The Association also participates in standby letters of credit to satisfy the financing needs of its borrowers. These letters of credit are irrevocable agreements to guarantee payments of specified financing obligations. At December 31, 2013, the Association had approximately \$194,474 in outstanding standby letters of credit, issued primarily in conjunction with participation loans. The fair value of these obligations at December 31, 2013 is based on the fees for the unexpired period remaining, which are negligible.

NOTE 16 – QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED):

Quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31 (in thousands) follow:

	2013				
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
Net interest income	\$ 3,973	\$ 3,958	\$ 4,073	\$ 4,167	\$ 16,171
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses	(56)	15	(743)	270	(514)
Noninterest income (expense), net	(1,348)	(966)	(1,387)	(1,168)	(4,869)
Net income	<u>\$ 2,569</u>	<u>\$ 3,007</u>	<u>\$ 1,943</u>	<u>\$ 3,269</u>	<u>\$ 10,788</u>

	2012				
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
Net interest income	\$ 3,649	\$ 3,726	\$ 3,833	\$ 3,897	\$ 15,105
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses	(340)	(97)	(848)	(67)	(1,352)
Noninterest income (expense), net	(1,157)	(829)	(1,271)	(1,224)	(4,481)
Net income	<u>\$ 2,152</u>	<u>\$ 2,800</u>	<u>\$ 1,714</u>	<u>\$ 2,606</u>	<u>\$ 9,272</u>

	2011				
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
Net interest income	\$ 3,414	\$ 3,333	\$ 3,390	\$ 3,503	\$ 13,640
(Provision for) reversal of loan losses	(108)	(500)	(982)	(74)	(1,664)
Noninterest income (expense), net	(1,104)	(1,029)	(784)	(1,144)	(4,061)
Net income	<u>\$ 2,202</u>	<u>\$ 1,804</u>	<u>\$ 1,624</u>	<u>\$ 2,285</u>	<u>\$ 7,915</u>

NOTE 17 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

The Association has evaluated subsequent events through March 11, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were issued or available to be issued.

There are no subsequent events requiring disclosure as of March 11, 2014.

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION AND INDEX

Disclosures Required by Farm Credit Administration Regulations

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The description of the territory served, the persons eligible to borrow, the types of lending activities engaged in and the financial services offered, and related Farm Credit organizations required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, "Organization and Operations," included in this annual report.

The descriptions of significant developments that had or could have a material impact on earnings, interest rates to borrowers, patronage, or dividends and acquisitions or dispositions of material assets, changes in the reporting entity, changes in patronage policies or practices and financial assistance provided by or to the Association through loss sharing or capital preservation agreements or from any other source, if any, required to be disclosed in this section are incorporated herein by reference from "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included in this annual report.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Alabama Farm Credit, ACA (Association) serves its 27-county territory through its main administrative and lending office at 1740 Eva Road, N.E., Cullman, Alabama 35055. Additionally, there are five branch lending offices located throughout the territory. The Association owns the office buildings in Albertville, Athens, Cullman, Talladega and Tusculumbia, free of debt. The Association leases an outpost in Moulton.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business, the Association is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of legal counsel and management, there are no legal proceedings at this time that are likely to materially affect the consolidated financial statements of the Association.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The information required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, "Members' Equity," included in this annual report.

DESCRIPTION OF LIABILITIES

The description of liabilities required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Note 8, "Note Payable to the Bank," Note 12, "Employee Benefit Plans," and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included in this annual report.

The description of contingent liabilities required to be disclosed in this section is incorporated herein by reference from Notes 2 and 15 to the consolidated financial statements, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" and "Commitments and Contingencies," respectively, included in this annual report.

RELATIONSHIP WITH THE FARM CREDIT BANK OF TEXAS

The Association's financial condition may be impacted by factors that affect the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (Bank), as discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, "Organization and Operations," included in this annual report. The financial condition and results of operations of the Bank may materially affect the stockholders' investment in the Association.

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas and District Associations' (district) annual and quarterly stockholder reports are available free of charge, upon request. These reports can be obtained by writing to Farm Credit Bank of Texas, The Ag Agency, P.O. Box 202590, Austin, Texas 78720-2590 or calling (512) 483-9204. Copies of the district's annual and quarterly stockholder reports can also be requested by emailing fcb@farmcreditBank.com. The district's annual and quarterly stockholder reports are also available on its website at www.farmcreditBank.com.

The Association's quarterly stockholder reports are also available free of charge, upon request. These reports will be available approximately 40 days after quarter end and can be obtained by writing to Alabama Farm Credit, ACA, P.O. Box 639, Cullman,

Alabama 35056 or calling (256) 737-7128. Copies of the Association’s quarterly stockholder reports can also be requested by emailing *karri.sumrall@alabamafarmcredit.com*. The Association’s annual stockholder report is available on its website at *www.alabamafarmcredit.com* 75 days after the fiscal year end. Copies of the Association’s annual stockholder report can also be requested 90 days after the fiscal year end.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data for the five years ended December 31, 2013, required to be disclosed, is incorporated herein by reference to the “Five-Year Summary of Selected Consolidated Financial Data” included in this annual report to stockholders.

MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

“Management’s Discussion and Analysis,” which precedes the consolidated financial statements in this annual report, is incorporated herein by reference.

DIRECTORS AND SENIOR OFFICERS

The Association’s member-elected and director-elected board of directors and senior officers are as follows:

NAME	POSITION	DATE ELECTED/ EMPLOYED	TERM EXPIRES
Loyd Rutherford	Chairman	1990	2016
Matthew J. Christjohn, DVM	Vice Chairman	2005	2014
Larry Don McGee	Member	1997	2015
John Walton Anderson	Member	1998	2016
Jimmy Wayne Harvey	Member	2006	2015
Benny Neal Smith	Member	1996	2014
John R. Adams, CPA	Director-Elected Director	2006	2015
K. Ben Gore	President /Chief Executive Officer	1989	-
Ralph D. Stewart	Executive Vice President/Chief Credit Officer	2009	-
Karri H. Sumrall, CPA	Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer	2003	-

A brief statement of the business and employment background of each director and senior officer is provided for informational purposes.

Loyd Rutherford, age 72. Mr. Rutherford is semi-retired living in Lawrence County. Before retiring he worked for 32 years with a local cooperative along with raising cotton, soybeans, cattle and broilers. He has been on the Association board since 1990 and has served as chairman since 1995. He serves on the stockholders advisory committee and the district benefits administrative committee for the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. He is a member of the Farm Credit Benefit Alliance plan sponsor committee which services both AgFirst Bank and the Farm Credit Bank of Texas. He also serves on the Tenth District Farm Credit Council board and the National Farm Credit Council board headquartered in Washington D.C. He also serves as chairman of the Farm Credit Council Services board headquartered in Denver, Colorado. He owns and operates JRL, Inc., a construction and development company in Moulton, Alabama.

Larry Don McGee, age 62. Mr. McGee is a full-time poultry and cattle farmer from Jackson County. He owns and operates 500 acres in Jackson and DeKalb counties. He has approximately 200 head of brood cows along with three pullet houses with a capacity of 21,000. He has been the owner/operator of L&D Farms for the past 27 years. He is a director for the Sand Mountain-Lake Guntersville Water Shed, a member of DeKalb County Cattlemen’s Association, Alabama Poultry & Egg Association. Mr. McGee serves on the Association’s audit committee. The primary function of the audit committee is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to the quality of financial reporting, the system of internal controls, the audit process, and the Association’s process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the code of conduct. Mr. McGee also serves as director of the Jackson County Soil and Water Conservation District board.

John Walton Anderson, age 67. Mr. Anderson is a full-time row crop farmer in Limestone County. He has been farming for the past 40 years, and his operation consists of approximately 4,000 acres of cropland, pasture and timber. His current operation includes 2,000 acres of row crops. Mr. Anderson is owner or part owner in Andy Anderson Farms, JWA, Inc. and Anderson Farms, Inc., all which are farming operations. He is a member of the Limestone County and Alabama Cattlemen’s Association. Mr. Anderson is also a member of the Association’s compensation committee. The primary function of the compensation

committee is to provide assistance to the board of directors in fulfilling the board's responsibilities on matters relating to compensating the board and the Association's CEO, reviewing the compensation policies and plans for senior officers and employees, including incentive compensation plans and benefits, overseeing the Association's management succession planning, and engaging in such other matters as may from time to time be specifically delegated to the committee by the board.

Matthew J. Christjohn, DVM, age 43. Dr. Christjohn is the owner and operator of Large Animal Veterinary Services, a practice concentrating on farm animals – mainly cattle and horses. The business covers territory in Alabama, Georgia, Florida and Oklahoma. Dr. Christjohn received his animal & dairy science degree from Auburn University in 1992, doctor of veterinary medicine degree from Auburn University in 1995 and master of business administration from University of Phoenix in January 2008. In addition to his business, he presently owns and operates a 360-acre cattle farm in Wedowee, Alabama. Dr. Christjohn is a member of the American and Alabama Veterinary Medical Associations, Society for Theriogenology, American Association of Bovine Practitioners, Academy of Veterinary Consultants, National Cattleman's Beef Association, Alabama Cattlemen's Association and the Florida Cattlemen's Association. Dr. Christjohn is also a member of the Association's audit committee. The primary function of the audit committee is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to the quality of financial reporting, the system of internal controls, the audit process, and the Association's process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the code of conduct.

Jimmy Wayne Harvey, age 62. Mr. Harvey is a licensed Alabama home builder. He retired from the construction business in 2000 after 18 years. He owns and operates a small cattle farm in Marshall County. He recently retired from the poultry business for which he had been in business for 20 years. He is a member of the Alabama Poultry and Egg Association and Marshall Farmers Co-op. Mr. Harvey is also a member of the Association's compensation committee. The primary function of the compensation committee is to provide assistance to the board of directors in fulfilling the board's responsibilities on matters relating to compensating the board and the Association's CEO; reviewing the compensation policies and plans for senior officers and employees, including incentive compensation plans and benefits, overseeing the Association's management succession planning, and engaging in such other matters as may from time to time be specifically delegated to the committee by the board.

Benny Neal Smith, age 74. Mr. Smith is retired from Synergy Gas Company after 33 years as a route salesman. He operates an approximately 100-acre cattle and poultry farm in Etowah County. He has approximately 50 brood cows along with a broiler contract from Pilgrims Poultry Company having a farm capacity of 110,000 broilers. He has been in the poultry business for the past 46 years. He is a member of the Alabama Poultry and Egg Association, the Alabama Cattlemen's Association and a director for Cherokee County Electric Cooperative. Mr. Smith is also a member of the Association's compensation committee. The primary function of the compensation committee is to provide assistance to the board of directors in fulfilling the board's responsibilities on matters relating to compensating the board and the Association's CEO, reviewing the compensation policies and plans for senior officers and employees, including incentive compensation plans and benefits, overseeing the Association's management succession planning, and engaging in such other matters as may from time to time be specifically delegated to the committee by the board.

John R. Adams, CPA, age 54. Mr. Adams is a certified public accountant with over 30 years' experience in public accounting. He is a partner in a local accounting firm in Decatur, Alabama. Mr. Adams received his bachelor of science degree with a major in accounting from the University of Alabama. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants and National Society of Accountants for Cooperatives. Mr. Adams is also the chairman of the Association's audit committee. The primary function of the audit committee is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to the quality of financial reporting, the system of internal controls, the audit process, and the Association's process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the code of conduct.

K. Ben Gore, age 61. Mr. Gore was selected as CEO effective January 1, 2009. Mr. Gore has a total of 38 years' experience with the Farm Credit System.

Ralph D. Stewart, age 41. Mr. Stewart was employed by the Association in September 2009. Mr. Stewart has over 15 years' experience with the Farm Credit System.

Karri H. Sumrall, CPA, age 42. Ms. Sumrall is a certified public accountant with over eight years of experience in public accounting with an emphasis in financial institutions and has 10 years' experience with the Farm Credit System.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors were compensated for their service to the Association in the form of an honorarium at the rate of \$750 per month and \$500 per day for regular, special and committee meetings. Directors receive an additional \$150 for special and committee meetings

held on the same day as the regular board meeting. For meetings in which they served on other Farm Credit boards (for which they are compensated), and also represent the Association, the Association pays any difference in meeting honorarium paid to the director, up to the \$500 per day. There were no conference call meetings during 2013. Certain expenses incurred by directors while representing the Association in an official capacity were reimbursed. Mileage for attending official meetings during 2013 was paid at the IRS-approved rate of 56.5 cents per mile. A copy of the travel policy is available to stockholders of the Association upon request.

**Number of Days Served
Associated With**

Director	Board Meetings	Other Official Committee Activities	Conference Calls	2013 Compensation Paid for Other Farm Credit Meetings	Total Compensation in 2013
Loyd Rutherford	12	33	0	\$ 1,000	\$ 30,000
Larry Don McGee	11	11	0	-	18,950
John R. Adams, CPA	12	11	0	-	19,450
John Walton Anderson	12	8	0	-	19,000
Matthew J. Christjohn, DVM	11	10	0	-	18,450
Jimmy Wayne Harvey	12	13	0	-	21,500
Benny Neal Smith	12	10	0	-	20,000
				\$ 1,000	\$ 147,350

The aggregate compensation paid to directors in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$147,350, \$161,100 and \$157,700 respectively. Additional detail regarding director compensation paid for committee service (which is included in the table above) is as follows:

Director	Committee	
	Audit	Compensation
John Adams, CPA	\$ 1,950	
John Walton Anderson		\$ 1,000
Larry Don McGee	1,950	
Matthew J. Christjohn, DVM	1,950	
Jimmy Wayne Harvey		1,000
Benny Neal Smith		1,000
	\$ 5,850	\$ 3,000

The aggregate amount of reimbursement for travel, subsistence and other related expenses paid to directors and on their behalf was \$51,179, \$55,259 and \$54,592 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

COMPENSATION OF SENIOR OFFICERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Senior Officers Compensation Policy

Overview

A critical factor to the Association's success is its ability to attract, develop and retain staff that is knowledgeable and efficient in their ability to support the Association in the execution of its strategic objectives and delivery of Association results that maximize the value to the stockholders. This objective holds particularly true for the Association's chief executive officer (CEO) and senior officer group. The Association operates utilizing a compensation program which focuses on the performance and contributions of

its employees in achieving the Association's financial and operational objectives, all for the ultimate benefit of its stockholder/members. The Association's board of directors, through its compensation committee, establishes salary and incentive programs utilizing data derived from independent third-party compensation specialists in the financial services sector to ensure that salary and incentive structures are in line with market-comparable positions. Studies provided by third-party compensation specialists form the foundation for the Association's evaluation and establishment of salary and incentive plans used by the Association.

Association employees, including senior officers, can earn compensation above base salary through an annual success-sharing incentive plan. The term of the plan is each calendar year beginning January 1 through December 31. The plan is based upon the achievement of predetermined Association performance goals for return on assets, net loan growth, credit quality and delinquency volume. The plan places more emphasis on earnings (return on assets) than any other factor in the plan and is approved by the board of directors annually. All full-time employees that have been employed at least three months are eligible to earn an individual incentive up to 25.0 percent of their annual salary based upon their individual performance objectives. The following criteria is also used for determining eligibility for the incentive pay: (1) the Association must not be in default of the General Financing Agreement with the Farm Credit Bank of Texas; (2) the Association cannot receive an overall rating of "unsatisfactory" on credit administration by the Internal Credit Review and/or FCA examinations; (3) the employee's branch office cannot receive an overall rating of "unsatisfactory" on credit administration; (4) there must be material income from operations beyond what is needed to fund the incentive plan and; (5) eligible employees must receive an annual performance rating of "meets standard of good competent performance" on his/her individual performance review.

Also included in the incentive plan is the opportunity to earn commissions. The Association participates in a program with an outside insurance entity to provide borrowers the opportunity to purchase credit life insurance. Association employees receive commissions from the outside insurance entity through the Association during the calendar year. The Association also participates in a program with AgSouth Farm Credit, ACA to provide borrowers with secondary housing loans. Association employees receive commissions based on the fee income received by the Association for loans closed under this program during the calendar year. Also, employees are eligible to receive commissions on leases originated through Farm Credit Leasing during the calendar year. Amounts paid under these plans are paid no later than January following the close of the plan term and are included in "Incentive" in the table on the following page.

The Association provides certain employees use of Association automobiles. The employees' personal use of these automobiles is governed by the Association's board-approved travel and vehicle policy as well as IRS rules. Employees assigned a vehicle are required to maintain a business mileage log. Personal use of these vehicles is calculated and reported in compliance with current IRS regulations. Amounts for personal use of an Association vehicle are included in "Deferred/Perquisite" in the table on the previous page. Employees who use their personal automobile for business purposes were reimbursed during 2013 at the IRS-approved rate of 55.6 cents per mile.

The Association's travel policy allows spousal travel in some instances. Travel expenses reimbursed for spousal travel are considered to be paid to the employee or director under a nonaccountable plan and are therefore included in their gross income or IRS Form 1099 in accordance with IRS guidelines. Amounts relating to reimbursed travel expenses are included in "Deferred/Perquisite" in the table on the following page.

The Association participates in the Farm Credit Benefits Alliance 401(k) Plan which requires the Associations to match 100 percent of employee contributions up to 3.0 percent of base salary and to match 50.0 percent of employee contributions for the next 2.0 percent of employee contributions, up to a maximum employer contribution of 4.0 percent of base salary. Amounts paid under this plan are included in "Deferred/Perquisite" in the table on the following page.

Participants in the Defined Contribution (DC) Plan generally include employees who elected to transfer from the DB Plan prior to January 1, 1996, and employees hired on or after January 1, 1996. Participants in the DC Plan direct the placement of their employers' contributions, 5.0 percent of salaries for the year ended December 31, 2013 made on their behalf into various investment alternatives. Amounts paid under this plan are included in "Deferred/Perquisite" in the table on the following page.

The Association also provides group term life insurance to all employees in an amount equal to double the employees' respective salaries. To the extent that the value of this life insurance exceeds \$50,000, an amount is added to each respective employee's taxable earnings using the IRS-approved calculation. Amounts relating to excess life insurance are included in "Other" in the table on the following page.

Retirement gifts and any payout of unused annual leave at retirement are included in "Other" in the table on the following page.

Neither the CEO nor any other senior officer received non-cash compensation exceeding \$5,000 in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Senior officers, including the CEO, are reimbursed for reasonable travel, subsistence and other related expenses while conducting Association business. A copy of the Association’s travel policy is available to shareholders upon request.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation paid to the CEO and all senior officers of the Association during 2013, 2012 and 2011. This may include other non-senior officers if their total compensation is within the top five highest paid employees. Amounts reflected in the table are presented in the year the compensation was earned. As explained below, amounts reported in the “Change in Pension Value”, “Deferred/Perquisite” and “Other” columns represent value of benefits provided but are not necessarily indicative of cash outlay from the Association directly to the respective employees.

Name of Individual or number in group(a)	Year	Salary(b)	Bonus(c)	Change in Pension Value(d)	Deferred/ Perquisite(e)	Other(f)	Total
K. Ben Gore							
President/CEO	2013	\$ 215,008	\$ 49,826	\$ 214,284	\$ 17,934	\$ 3,010	\$ 500,062
	2012	\$ 204,008	\$ 41,820	\$ 489,181	\$ 18,025	\$ 2,835	\$ 755,869
	2011	\$ 190,008	\$ 31,825	\$ 336,232	\$ 16,103	\$ 4,754	\$ 578,922
Aggregate Compensation Paid To Senior Officers in Year							
(5)	* 2013	\$ 604,280	\$ 126,000	\$ 522,570	\$ 78,092	\$ 2,921	\$ 1,333,863
(5)	* 2012	\$ 577,701	\$ 127,348		\$ 88,921	\$ 7,613	\$ 801,583
(5)	* 2011	\$ 567,434	\$ 93,858		\$ 76,542	\$ 1,924	\$ 739,758

- (a) Aggregate number of senior officers/highly compensated individuals, excluding CEO.
- (b) Gross salary, including retention plan compensation for certain senior officers.
- (c) Bonuses paid within the first 30 days of the subsequent calendar year.
- (d) Change in pension value represents the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the defined benefit pension plan, the Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan, from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year. This value is not reflected for senior officers for the years 2012 or 2011.
- (e) Deferred/Perquisites include contributions to 401(k), automobile benefits and spousal travel reimbursements in accordance with IRS guidelines.
- (f) Amounts in the “Other” column include premiums paid for group term life insurance, service awards and insurance commissions.

Disclosure of information on the total compensation paid and the arrangements of the compensation plans during the last fiscal year to any senior officer or to any other officer included in the aggregate are available and will be disclosed to shareholders of the institution upon request.

The Association’s voting shareholders have the authority to cast a vote in an advisory vote on the Association’s CEO and/or senior officer compensation if 5 percent of the total voting stockholders submit a petition to do so. The petition and the advisory vote will be conducted in accordance with the Association’s policies and procedures. If a vote were to occur in the future, the results would be shared with the shareholders. The results of any advisory vote are non-binding on the Association’s compensation committee and the Association’s board of directors. Also, if the compensation for either the CEO or the aggregate senior officer group increased 15 percent or more from the previous reporting period, the Association must hold an advisory vote. To date, no advisory votes on the Association’s CEO and senior officer compensation have occurred.

On October 3, 2012, FCA adopted a regulation that requires all System institutions to hold advisory votes on the compensation for all senior officers and/or the CEO when the compensation of either the CEO or the senior officer group increases by 15 percent or more from the previous reporting period. In addition, the regulation requires associations to hold an advisory vote on CEO and/or senior officer compensation when 5 percent of the voting stockholders petition for the vote and to disclose the petition authority in the annual report to shareholders. The regulation became effective December 17, 2012, and the base year for determining whether there is a 15 percent or greater increase was 2013. No association has held an advisory vote based on a stockholder petition in 2013.

On January 17, 2014, the President of the United States signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act which includes language prohibiting the FCA from using any funds available to “to implement or enforce” the regulation. In addition, on February 7, 2014, the President of the United States signed into law the Agricultural Act of 2014. Section 5404 of the law directs FCA to within 60 days of enactment of the law “review its rules to reflect the Congressional intent that a primary responsibility of boards of directors of Farm Credit System institutions, as elected representatives of their stockholders, is to oversee compensation practices.” FCA has not yet taken any action with respect to their regulation in response to these actions.

Pension Benefits Table

The following table presents the total annual benefit provided from the defined benefit pension plan applicable to the CEO for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During 2013
K. Ben Gore CEO	Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan	39.5	\$ 2,436,605	\$ -
Aggregate Number of Senior Officers (& other highly compensated employees, if applicable) (2)	Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan	35.9	\$ 1,720,927	\$ -

Pension Benefits Table Narrative Disclosure

The CEO and two of the other senior officers of the Association participate in the Farm Credit Bank of Texas Pension Plan (the “Pension Plan”), which is a qualified defined benefit retirement plan. Compensation, as defined in the Pension Plan, includes wages, incentive compensation, and deferrals to the 401(k) and flexible spending account plans, but excludes annual leave or sick leave that may be paid in cash at the time of termination, retirement, or transfer of employment, severance payments, retention bonuses, taxable fringe benefits, and any other payments. Pension Plan benefits are based on the average of monthly eligible compensation over the 60 consecutive months that produce the highest average after 1996 (“FAC60”). The Pension Plan’s benefit formula for a Normal Retirement Pension is the sum of (a) 1.65 percent of FAC60 times “Years of Benefit Service” and (b) 0.50 percent of (i) FAC60 in excess of Social Security covered compensation items and (ii) “Years of Benefit Service” (not to exceed 35). The present value of the senior officers’ accumulated Pension Plan is calculated assuming retirement had occurred at the measurement date used for financial reporting purposes with the retirement at age 65. The Pension Plan’s benefit formula for the Normal Retirement Pension assumes that the senior officers are married on the date the annuity begins, that the spouse is exactly two years younger than the senior officers, and that the benefit is payable in the form of a 50 percent joint and survivor annuity. If any of those assumptions are incorrect, the benefit is recalculated to be the actuarial equivalent benefit.

Other Supplemental Retirement Plans Funded by the Association on Behalf of Senior Officers and Employees

The Association sponsors a defined contribution supplemental retirement plan eligible to employees whose compensation exceeds the IRS threshold of \$105,000 in the preceding year. This plan would allow for an employee to restore their contributions restricted by IRS limits to salary, elective deferrals made by employees to defer compensation out to a future date, discretionary contributions made by the Association to a select group of employees and retention feature using vesting schedules for discretionary contributions. This plan is a nonqualified 401(k) plan. No contributions have been made to the plan to date.

TRANSACTIONS WITH DIRECTORS AND SENIOR OFFICERS

The Association's policies on loans to and transactions with its officers and directors, required to be disclosed in this section, are incorporated herein by reference from Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, "Related Party Transactions," included in this annual report.

DIRECTORS' AND SENIOR OFFICERS' INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

During the past five years, none of the Association's officers or directors has been involved in legal proceedings that are material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of any person who served as director or senior officer.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

The Association's audit committee engaged the independent accounting firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP (PwC) to perform the annual audit of the Association's financial statements included in this annual report. The total fees paid per the 2013 audit engagement letter for professional services rendered for the Association by PwC were \$39,200.

RELATIONSHIP WITH UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS ENTITIES

The Association has relationships with the following two unincorporated business entities, which are limited liability companies organized for the purpose of acquiring and managing unusual or complex collateral associated with loans:

- North Alabama White Energy, LLC
- FCBT Biostar B, LLC

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated March 11, 2014, and the report of management in this annual report to stockholders, are incorporated herein by reference.

MEMBER/SHAREHOLDER PRIVACY

Members' nonpublic personal financial information is protected by Farm Credit Administration regulation. Our directors and employees are restricted from disclosing information not normally contained in published reports or press releases about the Association or its members.

**CREDIT AND SERVICES TO YOUNG, BEGINNING AND SMALL FARMERS AND RANCHERS,
AND PRODUCERS OR HARVESTERS OF AQUATIC PRODUCTS**

The Association is committed to meeting the needs of Young, Beginning and Small (YBS) farmers and ranchers and recognizes the need to support these operators to ensure a strong agricultural community for the future. Support of YBS lending activities is a priority in the Association. Additional employee time and other resources are combined with the most liberal application of the Association’s underwriting standards possible to meet the credit needs of YBS farmers and ranchers. In addition, the Association actively supports other programs, events, scholarships and educational activities that benefit young people who will become the agricultural providers of tomorrow.

The Association sets minimum standards and monitors its YBS performance on a regular basis. These results are also compared to the demographics of the territory it serves as reflected in the USDA Census of Agriculture (Census).

Definitions for “young”, “beginning” and “small” farmers and ranchers used by the Association are:

- Young: Age 35 or younger as of the loan date
- Beginning: 10 years or less of farming, ranching or aquatic experience as of the loan date
- Small: Less than \$250,000 in annual gross sales of agricultural products

Slight differences noted between the Census and our YBS information is as follows:

- The Census shows young farmers in a group up to age 34, whereas the Association’s YBS information shows young farmers up to age 35.
- The Census shows years on present farm a class up to 9 years, whereas the Association’s YBS information shows 10 years or less for a beginning farmer.
- The Census data is based on number of farms, whereas the Association’s YBS information is based on number of loans.

The 2010 USDA Census of Agriculture for Alabama indicates that 5.1 percent of farm operators are “young”, 28.2 percent are “beginning” and 92.4 percent of the farms are “small”. The Association’s YBS lending goals per its 2013 business plan were as follows:

YBS Class	Percentage of Total Loans	Percentage of Loan Volume
Young	>27%	>27%
Beginning	>49%	>49%
Small	>75%	>75%

The Association’s YBS loans, as a percentage of total loans outstanding as of December 31, are reflected in the table below for the past three years:

	2011		2012		2013	
	% of Total Loans	% of Loan Volume	% of Total Loans	% of Loan Volume	% of Total Loans	% of Loan Volume
Young	25.4%	28.7%	25.3%	28.6%	25.5%	29.1%
Beginning	48.9%	49.8%	48.1%	48.6%	48.5%	48.2%
Small	81.7%	76.4%	80.6%	76.8%	81.6%	67.4%

The Association's YBS loans, as a percentage of all loans closed each year, are reflected in the table below for the past three years:

	2011		2012		2013	
	% of New Loans	% of New Loan Volume	% of New Loans	% of New Loan Volume	% of New Loans	% of New Loan Volume
Young	25.2%	27.5%	26.6%	28.9%	24.5%	30.0%
Beginning	45.0%	47.1%	46.8%	44.1%	46.0%	47.1%
Small	78.0%	66.0%	74.7%	61.9%	69.7%	56.9%

For purposes of the above tables, a loan could be classified in more than one category depending upon the characteristics of the underlying borrower. The number and volume of loans in many cases falls into more than one category. For example, a 32 year-old farmer with farm income of \$150,000 would be counted in the statistics for both "young" and "small" categories.

The Association continues to provide credit to YBS farmers and ranchers at high levels as reflected by the above comparative data. Emphasis on this area of the Association's lending business will continue to be a priority.